arXiv:1609.09264v1 [math.AT] 29 Sep 2016

(TOPOLOGICAL) MODULAR FORMS WITH LEVEL STRUCTURES: DECOMPOSITIONS AND DUALITY

LENNART MEIER

Abstract. The present article studies decompositions of vector bundles on the moduli stack of elliptic curves that are pushforwards of vector bundles on moduli of elliptic curves with level structure. These imply decomposition results for rings of modular forms and also for topological modular forms. We give explicit formulas for these decompositions and also apply them to equivariant topological modular forms.

Moreover, we study the dualizing sheaf on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)$ and characterize the numbers n such that $Tmf_1(n)$ is Anderson self-dual.

1. Introduction

Rings of modular forms with level structure are of great importance in number theory. An example is $M_R(\Gamma_1(n))$, the ring of (holomorphic) $\Gamma_1(n)$-modular forms over a ring R, in which we assume n to be invertible.

While there is a lot of information available for low n, in general these rings are hard to understand. For example, it is an equivalent form of a famous and difficult theorem of Mazur [Maz77] that the ring $M_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Gamma_1(n))[\Delta^{-1}]$ of meromorphic modular forms only admits a ring homomorphism to $\mathbb{Q}$ if $n \leq 10$ or $n = 12$, i.e. exactly if (the coarse moduli space of) $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)_{\mathbb{C}}$ has genus 0. Here, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)$ is the compactification of the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_1(n)$ of elliptic curves with chosen point of exact order n.

The aim of the present article is instead the more modest aim of an *additive* understanding of the ring of modular forms with level structure. It is an elementary observation that $M_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Gamma_1(n))$ always splits as a graded $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space into shifted copies of $M_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Gamma_1(2))$ (and the same works with $M_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Gamma_1(3))$ or the ring of level-1 modular forms $M_{\mathbb{Q}}$ itself instead). Several questions arise: Is a similar splitting true for other base rings and is it natural in the choice of base ring? Is the splitting compatible with the $M_{\mathbb{Q}}$-module structure?

Under rather weak assumptions we can give a positive answer to these questions in a strong form. Denote by $f_n\colon \overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)_R \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell,R}$ the projection to the compactified moduli stack of elliptic curves, where R is $\mathbb{Z}_{(l)}$ or a field of characteristic l with $(l,n) = 1$ or $l = 0$, and by $\mathcal{E}_n$ the pushforward $(f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)_R}$. Furthermore, denote by ω the pushforward $p_*\Omega^1_{\mathcal{C}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}}$ of the sheaf of differentials of the universal generalized elliptic curve $p\colon \mathcal{C} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $n \geq 4$. If R is a field or $H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)_{(l)};\omega)$ has no l-torsion,[1] the vector bundle $\mathcal{E}_n$ on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell,R}$ decomposes into vector bundles of the form*

- *$\mathcal{E}_3 \otimes \omega^{\otimes m}$ for $l = 2$,*
- *$\mathcal{E}_2 \otimes \omega^{\otimes m}$ for $l = 3$,*
- *$\omega^{\otimes m}$ for $l > 3$.*

[1] This happens if and only if all weight 1 mod l cusp forms of level $\Gamma_1(n)$ lift to $\mathbb{Z}_{(l)}$.

If $l = 0$, the vector bundle $\mathcal{E}_n$ decomposes indeed both into vector bundles of the form $\mathcal{E}_2 \otimes \omega^{\otimes m}$ and into vector bundles of the form $\mathcal{E}_3 \otimes \omega^{\otimes m}$ (if $n \geq 5$).

For the corresponding splitting on the uncompactified moduli stack the H^1-condition is unnecessary if we are willing to l-complete everything in the case $R = \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}$.

Note that $M_R(\Gamma_1(n))$ are the global sections of $\bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{E}_n \otimes \omega^{\otimes i}$ so that we get the additive splittings of the rings of modular forms alluded to above. Note further that the vector bundles $\omega^{\otimes m}$, $\mathcal{E}_2$ and $\mathcal{E}_3$ are well-understood, giving a rather complete understanding of all $\mathcal{E}_n$.

The theorem works likewise for $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(n)$ instead of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)$ and also for $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_0(n)$ if $l > 3$ or $l = 3$ does not divide $\phi(n) = |(\mathbb{Z}/n)^\times|$. Which tensor powers $\omega^{\otimes m}$ occur how often in the splitting of $\mathcal{E}_n$ is computable in terms of dimensions of spaces of modular forms and we will give explicit formulas and examples in Section 5.1.

In some cases these formulas possess a remarkable symmetry. This happens if the dualizing sheaf of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)$ is isomorphic to a power of ω. We will classify the values of n where this occurs. These are $n \leq 8$ (genus 0) and $n = 11, 14, 15$ (genus 1) and $n = 23$ (genus 12).

In contrast, over the uncompactified moduli stack all powers of ω in the decomposition appear equally often if $n \geq 4$ and $l = 2$ or 3. This finishes the account of the algebro-geometric content of the present paper.

Of equal importance to the author (and perhaps to the reader) are the applications to stable homotopy theory and, more precisely, to the theory of topological modular forms.

The homotopy theorist's version of a commutative ring is an E_∞-ring spectrum, essentially a multiplicative cohomology theory that satisfies associativity and commutativity in a homotopy coherent way. The homotopy theorist's version of the ring of modular forms $M_\mathbb{Z}$ is the E_∞-ring spectrum tmf of topological modular forms as constructed by Goerss, Hopkins and Miller [DFHH14]. It comes with a ring homomorphism $\pi_{2*}tmf \to M_\mathbb{Z}$ from its homotopy groups that is neither injective (the source contains torsion) nor surjective (it does not hit Δ), but is an isomorphism after inverting 6.

There are many variants of the spectrum tmf. While tmf is connective (i.e. $\pi_k tmf = 0$ for $k < 0$), there is also a nonconnective version Tmf, which takes the cohomology of $\omega^{\otimes k}$ for negative k into account. There is also $TMF = tmf[\Delta^{-1}]$ corresponding to meromorphic modular forms or the uncompactified moduli stack of elliptic curves.

We can also construct versions with level structures. In the uncompactified situation, $TMF_1(n)$, $TMF(n)$ and $TMF_0(n)$ were already available from the original construction of TMF. The corresponding $Tmf_1(n)$, $Tmf(n)$ and $Tmf_0(n)$ were constructed by Goerss–Hopkins (as written down in [Sto12]) and in full generality by Hill–Lawson [HL15]. Our algebraic results are easily applicable to the topological situation and imply the following theorem using the descent spectral sequence.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $n \geq 4$ and l be a prime not dividing n. If $H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)_{(l)}; \omega)$ has no l-torsion, the $Tmf_{(l)}$-module $Tmf_1(n)_{(l)}$ splits into $Tmf_{(l)}$-modules of the form*

- $\Sigma^{2m} Tmf_1(3)_{(l)}$ *for* $l = 2$,
- $\Sigma^{2m} Tmf_1(2)_{(l)}$ *for* $l = 3$,
- $\Sigma^{2m} Tmf_{(l)}$ *for* $l > 3$.

For the corresponding splitting of $TMF_1(n)$ the condition on l-torsion in H^1 is not necessary if we are willing to l-complete everything.

There are analogous theorems for $Tmf(n)$ and also for $Tmf_0(n)$ (if $l > 3$ or $l = 3$ does not divide $\phi(n)$ and n squarefree). These conditions are equivalent to $Tmf_1(n)$, $Tmf(n)$ or $Tmf_0(n)$ (for n squarefree) having torsionfree homotopy groups – this is an obvious necessary condition as $Tmf_1(3)_{(2)}$, $Tmf_1(2)_{(3)}$ and $Tmf_{(l)}$ for $l > 3$ have torsionfree homotopy groups. Indeed, these spectra and their homotopy groups are well-understood, which gives the theorem its strength. The exact suspensions occurring in the theorem can be computed explicitly.

Our theorem on the dualizing sheaf of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)$ has an implication for the *Anderson dual* $I_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]}Tmf_1(n)$ of $Tmf_1(n)$. The Anderson dual of a spectrum X is defined so that one has a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\pi_{-k-1}X, \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{n}]\right) \to \pi_k I_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]}X \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\pi_{-k}X, \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{n}]\right) \to 0.$$

If X is Anderson self-dual (up to suspension), then one obtains a convenient universal coefficient sequence for X.

Theorem 1.3. *The Anderson dual $I_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]}Tmf_1(n)$ of $Tmf_1(n)$ is equivalent to a suspension of $Tmf_1(n)$ if and only if $1 \leq n \leq 8$ or $n = 11, 14, 15$ or $n = 23$.*

The case $n = 1$, which is in some sense the most difficult, was already obtained in [Sto12].

We want to finish with a few words of motivation. Topological modular forms with low level (say $tmf_1(3)$) have been important in the history of tmf from the very beginning, e.g. in the computation of the homology of tmf (as written up in [Mat16]). But topological modular forms with high level – to whose understanding the present paper contributes – have also become more and more important in the last years and have for example been used to understand TMF-cooperations [BOSS15]. We want especially to stress applications to equivariant TMF though. As will be explained in Section 6.3, in Lurie's model the $(\mathbb{Z}/n)$-fixed points $TMF^{\mathbb{Z}/n}$ of $\mathbb{Z}/n$-equivariant TMF satisfy

$$TMF_{(l)}^{\mathbb{Z}/n} \simeq \prod_{k|n} TMF_1(k)_{(l)}$$

for l not dividing n. Our results help us thus to understand equivariant TMF for a cyclic group.

The structure of the present article is as follows. We begin in Section 2 with background on the various moduli stacks we will consider. We continue in Section 3 with a classification result about vector bundles on weighted projective lines over normal rings. This applies in particular to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(2)$, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(3)$ and to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell,(l)}$ for $l > 3$. We will use this in Section 4 to prove our decomposition results, first in the case of a field and then over $\mathbb{Z}_{(l)}$. In Section 5.1, we will give explicit formulas for these decompositions and in Section 5.2, we will prove our statement about dualizing sheaves. Section 6 will apply these algebraic considerations to TMF and will also discuss the relevance for equivariant TMF. Appendix A contains a proof how to lift the Hasse invariant to a characteristic zero modular form in the presence of a level structure. Appendix B contains tables of decompositions.

Acknowledgments. I want to thank Viktoriya Ozornova for many discussions and computations, on which several of the ideas of the present article are based, and for providing the proof of Proposition 5.11. I furthermore thank the mathoverflow community for many helpful questions and answers; in particular, the user Electric Penguin for his argument for lifting the Hasse invariant, which is crucial for significant parts of the present paper.

Conventions. The symbol / will always denote *stack* quotients. The dual of a module M will be denoted by $M^\vee$ and similarly for sheaves.

While we use the standard $\square$ for the end of proofs of theorems, propositions etc., we will use the symbol $\blacksquare$ for the end of proofs of claims inside bigger proofs.

2. Background on modular curves

All the material in this section is well-known to the experts. We will nevertheless provide some proof sketches and references to the literature for the convenience of the reader.

Denote by $\mathcal{M}_{ell}$ the uncompactified moduli stack of elliptic curves and by $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}$ its compactification. We define $\mathcal{M}_0(n)$, $\mathcal{M}_1(n)$ and $\mathcal{M}(n)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_0(n)(S) &= \text{ Elliptic curves } E \text{ over } S \text{ with chosen cyclic subgroup } H \subset E(S) \text{ of order } n\\ \mathcal{M}_1(n)(S) &= \text{ Elliptic curves } E \text{ over } S \text{ with chosen point } P \in E(S) \text{ of exact order } n\\ \mathcal{M}(n)(S) &= \text{ Elliptic curves } E \text{ over } S \text{ with chosen isomorphism } (\mathbb{Z}/n)^2 \cong E[n](S),\end{aligned}$$

where we always assume n to be invertible on S and where $E[n]$ denotes the n-torsion points. We can define the compactified versions $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_0(n)$, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(n)$ as the normalization of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}$ in $\mathcal{M}_0(n)$, $\mathcal{M}_1(n)$ and $\mathcal{M}(n)$ respectively [DR73, IV.3]. These are all Deligne–Mumford stacks. For the corresponding modular interpretations see also [Con07] and [Ces15]. These moduli interpretations are based on the notion of a *generalized elliptic curve*, which we will recall only over an algebraically closed field. By [DR73, Lemme II.1.3], a generalized elliptic curve is in this case either a (smooth) elliptic curve or a Néron k-gon. The *Néron k-gon* C over a scheme S is the quotient of $\mathbb{Z}/k \times \mathbb{P}^1_S$ where we identify $([i], \infty)$ with $([i+1], 0)$ for all i. Its smooth part C^{reg} is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/k \times \mathbb{G}_{m,S}$. With its obvious group structure, C^{reg} acts on C. See [DR73, II.1] or [Con07, Section 2.1] for more details.

The stack $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)$ classifies generalized elliptic curves E with a chosen point of order n in the smooth part of E such that over every geometric point of the base scheme every irreducible component of E contains a multiple of P. For n *squarefree* $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_0(n)$ classifies generalized elliptic curves E with a chosen cyclic subgroup C of order n in the smooth part of E such that over every geometric point every irreducible component of E intersects C nontrivially. It follows that in the squarefree case $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_0(n)$ is equivalent to the quotient of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)$ by the obvious $(\mathbb{Z}/n)^\times$-action.

We will sometimes also use the notation $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma_0(n))$ for $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_0(n)$ and similarly $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma_1(n))$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma(n))$.

Examples 2.1. Denote by $\mathcal{P}_R(a,b)$ the weighted projective stack $(\mathbb{A}^2_R - \{0,0\})/\mathbb{G}_m$ (as in Definition 3.1). Then we have equivalences

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell,\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{6}]} &\simeq \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{6}]}(4,6)\\ \overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(2) &\simeq \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]}(2,4)\\ \overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(3) &\simeq \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{3}]}(1,3)\\ \overline{\mathcal{M}}(2) &\simeq \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]}(2,2)\\ \overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(4) &\simeq \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]}(1,2).\end{aligned}$$

These are classically well-known and we obtain the corresponding uncompactified moduli by taking the non-vanishing locus of Δ. Proofs of (most of) the second, third and fourth equivalence can be found, for example, in [Beh06, Sec 1.3], [HM15, Prop 4.5] and [Sto12,

Prop 7.1] respectively. We give a sketch of the fifth one as this is probably the hardest one to find in the literature. Given an elliptic curve with a chosen invariant differential and a point P of exact order 4, we can write it uniquely in the form

$$y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2$$

such that $P = (0,0)$ and $\frac{dx}{2y+a_1x+a_3}$ is the chosen invariant differential; this is sometimes called the *homogeneous Tate normal form* (see [Hus04, Section 4.4] or [BO12, Section 1]). The condition that $(0,0)$ is a point of order 4 is equivalent to $a_3 = a_1a_2$. Thus, we obtain an equivalence

$$\mathcal{M}_1(4) \simeq (\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}][a_1, a_2, \Delta^{-1}])/\mathbb{G}_m$$

with $\Delta = a_1^2a_2^4(a_1^2 - 16a_2)$. Clearly, the weighted projective stack $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]}(1,2)$ is normal and we have a finite map $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]}(1,2) \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ classifying $y^2 + a_1xy + a_1a_2y = x^3 + a_2x^2$. It is easy to check that this defines a generalized elliptic curve (i.e. c_4 or Δ not zero at every point) using that $c_4 = a_1^4 - 16a_1^2a_2 + 16a_2^2$. Thus, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(4) \simeq \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]}(1,2)$ by the uniqueness of normal compactifications (see e.g. [HM15, Lemma 4.4]).

We call a Deligne–Mumford stack $\mathcal{X}$ *tame* if the automorphism group of every geometric point $\operatorname{Spec}\overline{K} \to \mathcal{X}$ has order prime to the characteristic of $\overline{K}$. If $\mathcal{X}$ is separated, it has by [Con05] a coarse moduli space X and we denote the canonical map $\mathcal{X} \to X$ by p. Then $\mathcal{X}$ is tame if and only if the pushforward functor

$$p_*\colon \operatorname{QCoh}(\mathcal{X}) \to \operatorname{QCoh}(X)$$

is exact as proven in [AOV08] (note that while they work with Artin stacks, their theory simplifies in the case of Deligne–Mumford stacks because automorphism group schemes of geometric points are in this case étale and hence constant).

We will mainly work with moduli stacks of elliptic curves in the tame or even representable case and specifically with the class singled out in the following convention.

Convention 2.2. In the following, let $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ be either $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)_R$ (with $n \geq 2$), $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(n)_R$ (with $n \geq 2$) or $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_0(n)_R$ (with $n \geq 2$ squarefree and $\phi(n)$ or 6 invertible on R) over a ring R, where n is invertible. We denote the projection $\overline{\mathcal{X}} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell,R}$ by g and by $\mathcal{X}$ the interior $g^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_R)$.

Proposition 2.3. *We have the following properties of $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ and g:*

1. *The map g is finite, representable and flat.*
2. *The stack $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ is tame with coarse moduli space flat of relative dimension 1 over $\operatorname{Spec}R$. In fact, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)_R$ (for $n \geq 5$) and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(n)_R$ (for $n \geq 3$) are even representable by projective R-schemes. In this case, $\mathcal{X}$ is affine.*
3. *The map $\overline{\mathcal{X}} \to \operatorname{Spec}R$ is in the representable case smooth of relative dimension 1.*
4. *For every quasi-coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$, we have $H^i(\overline{\mathcal{X}}; \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $i \geq 2$. For every quasi-coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{X}$, we have $H^i(\mathcal{X}; \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $i \geq 1$.*
5. *The line bundle $g^*\omega$ is ample on $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ (if $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ is representable).*

Proof.

1. The map g being finite and representable follows from the definition of normalization (see also [DR73, Section 4.3]). Furthermore, both $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}$ are smooth over $\operatorname{Spec}R$ by Theorem 3.4 of [DR73]. Every finite map between Deligne–Mumford stacks that are smooth over $\operatorname{Spec}R$ is automatically flat if R is regular. By choosing

an étale cover, this follows from the affine case, which in turn follows from [Gro65, Prop 6.1.5]. As the universal case $R = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]$ is regular, flatness follows for all R.

(2) As $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_0(n)_R$ is the quotient of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)_R$ by a finite group with invertible order (in the case $\phi(n)$ is invertible) or representable over a tame stack (in the case 6 is invertible), we only have to show tameness or representability for $\overline{\mathcal{X}} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(n)$. A weighted projective line $\mathcal{P}_R(a,b)$ is tame if and only if a and b are invertible in R. By the examples from 2.1, we see that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)$ is tame for $2 \leq n \leq 4$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(n)$ is tame for $n = 2$.

The coarse moduli space of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell,R}$ is $\mathbb{P}^1_R$ by [DR73, VI.1] for $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and [Ces15, Prop 3.3.2] in the general case. As the map $\overline{\mathcal{X}} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell,R}$ is finite, the composition $\overline{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathbb{P}^1_R$ with the map $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell,R} \to \mathbb{P}^1_R$ is proper and quasi-finite as the map into the coarse moduli space is proper and quasi-finite [Con05]. Thus, $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ is a scheme if it is an algebraic space (see [Knu71, Cor 6.16]) and then automatically a projective scheme over R as a proper and quasi-finite map of schemes is finite and hence projective. Thus by [Con07, Theorem 2.2.5], we have only to show that all automorphisms of geometric points for $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)$ for $n \geq 5$ and for $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(n)$ for $n \geq 3$ are trivial.

In the interior, this follows from [KM85, 2.7.2]. Now consider a geometric point of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)$ not in the interior. This corresponds to a Néron k-gon with a point P of exact order n in the smooth part such that every irreducible component contains a multiple of P. Every automorphism of the Néron k-gon that preserves the group operation is of the form u_ζ or τu_ζ for

$$\tau \colon (i,x) \mapsto (-i, x^{-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad u_\zeta \colon (i,x) \mapsto (i, \zeta^i x)$$

for a k-th root of unity ζ ([DR73, II.1.10]). Write $P = (i,x)$. As $n \geq 2$, we have $k = 1$ or $i \neq [0]$ and thus P can neither be fixed by u_ζ nor by τu_ζ if $\zeta \neq 1$. It is fixed by τ iff $x = \pm 1$ and $k = 1$ or k is even with $i = [k/2]$. As i must be a generator of $\mathbb{Z}/k$, we must have $k = 1$ or 2; the point P has order ≤ 2 if $x = 1$ or $k = 1$ and exact order 4 if $x = -1$ and $k = 2$. Thus, we see that all automorphisms of geometric points of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)$ are trivial if $n \geq 5$. By the same arguments, this follows for $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(n)$ if $n \geq 3$ because an isomorphism $(\mathbb{Z}/n)^2 \cong C^{reg}[n]$ for a Néron k-gon C with regular implies that $k = n$.

If $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ is a representable by a scheme, then $\mathcal{X}$ is as well. The coarse moduli scheme of $\mathcal{M}_{ell,R}$ is $\mathbb{A}^1_R$ and the composition $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{M}_{ell,R} \to \mathbb{A}^1_R$ is finite again. Thus, $\mathcal{X}$ is an affine scheme if $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ is representable.

(3) By [DR73, Thm 3.4], $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_R$ is smooth over $\operatorname{Spec} R$ and clearly of relative dimension 1.

(4) Under our assumptions, the case $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_0(n)_R$ reduces to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)_R$ as follows: If 6 is invertible, then $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell,R}$ itself has cohomological dimension 1 and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_0(n)_R$ is finite over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell,R}$ and thus $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_0(n)_R$ has cohomological dimension 1 as well. Thus assume that $\phi(n)$ is invertible and denote by p the canonical map $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)_R \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_0(n)_R$ (which is a $(\mathbb{Z}/n)^\times$-Galois cover) and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a quasi-coherent sheaf on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_0(n)_R$. Then the map $\mathcal{F} \to (p_* p^* \mathcal{F})^{(\mathbb{Z}/n)^\times}$ is an isomorphism and thus we have a chain of

isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} H^i(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_0(n)_R;\mathcal{F}) &\cong H^i(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_0(n)_R;(p_*p^*\mathcal{F})^{(\mathbb{Z}/n)^\times}) \\ &\cong H^i(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_0(n)_R;p_*p^*\mathcal{F})^{(\mathbb{Z}/n)^\times} \\ &\cong H^i(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)_R;p^*\mathcal{F})^{(\mathbb{Z}/n)^\times} \end{aligned}$$

because the order of $(\mathbb{Z}/n)^\times$ is invertible in R.

The cases $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)_R$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)_R$ are either treated in the Examples 2.1 (where one clearly has cohomological dimension 1) or are representable. In the latter case, our statement for $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ follows from the item 3 (e.g. by reducing via [Har77, Prop 9.3] to the case of R being a field).

Similarly, we can reduce the case $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{M}_1(n)_R$ and $\mathcal{M}(n)_R$. In the representable case, these are affine. The Examples 2.1 can be treated by hand again.

(5) Denote the map $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell,R} \to \mathbb{P}^1_R$ to the coarse moduli space by π. It is easy to see that $\pi^*\mathcal{O}(1) \cong \omega^{\otimes 12}$. As noted above, the composition $\pi g\colon \overline{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathbb{P}^1_R$ is finite. Thus, $g^*\omega^{\otimes 12} \cong (\pi g)^*\mathcal{O}(1)$ is ample and thus $g^*\omega$ is ample as well (see [GW10, Prop 13.83]). □

Example 2.4. For $n = 5,\dots,10$ or $n = 12$, we have an equivalence $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n) \simeq \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]}$. Indeed, by the last proposition, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)$ is representable by a projective $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]$-scheme. Over $\mathbb{C}$, the scheme $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)$ is connected of genus zero (for the genus formula see for example [DS05, Section 3.9]). As in the discussion in [HL10, Section 3.3], this implies that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n) \simeq \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]}$ as soon as we have exhibited a $\mathbb{Q}$-valued point of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)$. This is easily done as a Néron n-gon with $\Gamma_1(n)$-level structure already exists over $\mathbb{Q}$.

For the universal generalized elliptic curve $p\colon \mathcal{C} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}$ define $\omega = p_*\Omega^1_{\mathcal{C}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}}$, which is known to be a line bundle. The following proposition allows us to compare line bundles just over the complex numbers.

Proposition 2.5. *Let A be a discrete valuation ring or a field and $X \to \operatorname{Spec} A$ a proper morphism (with geometrically integral fibers). Let L be a field extension of the field of fractions K of A and assume K perfect. Then* $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \to \operatorname{Pic}(X_L)$ *is injective.*

Proof. The injectivity of $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \to \operatorname{Pic}(X_K)$ is proven in (the proof of) [BLR90, Thm 3, Section 8.4]. See also [Liu11] for a version where the fibers are not assumed to be geometrically integral.

In general, we can assume that L is algebraically closed. For $L = \overline{K}$ the algebraic closure of K, we can argue as follows: Consider the descent spectral sequence for étale cohomology

$$H^p(\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}|K), H^q(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{G}_m)) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(X_K, \mathbb{G}_m).$$

We know that $H^1(\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}|K), H^0(X_{\overline{K}};\mathbb{G}_m)) \cong H^1(\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}|K), \overline{K}^\times) = 0$ by Hilbert 90. (Here we use $H^0(X_{\overline{K}};\mathcal{O}_{X_{\overline{K}}}) \cong \overline{K}$ because $X \to \operatorname{Spec} A$ is proper [Liu02, Prop 3.18].) Thus, $\operatorname{Pic}(X_K) \cong H^1(X_K, \mathbb{G}_m)$ is the subgroup of permanent cycles in $\operatorname{Pic}(X_{\overline{K}}) \cong H^1(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{G}_m)$.

For the general case assume that $\mathcal{L} \in \operatorname{Pic}(X_{\overline{K}})$ is send to 0 in $\operatorname{Pic}(X_L)$. We will now argue via a version of the Lefschetz principle. There is a trivialization $\mathcal{O}_{X_L} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{L}_L$. Cover $X_{\overline{K}}$ with affine charts $U_1 = \operatorname{Spec} A_1, \dots, U_n = \operatorname{Spec} A_n$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is trivial. Then the trivialization of $\mathcal{L}_L$ is determined by invertible elements $\sum a_j \otimes t_j \in A_i \otimes_{\overline{K}} L$ with inverses $\sum b_j \otimes s_j$. There is a finitely generated ring extension $\overline{K} \subset R$ with $R \subset L$ such that all

s_j, t_j are in R. Thus, $\mathcal{L}_R \in \mathrm{Pic}(X_R)$ is already trivial. By Hilbert's Nullstellensatz, $\operatorname{Spec} R$ has a $\overline{K}$-point. Thus, $X_R \to X_{\overline{K}}$ has a section. This implies that $\mathcal{L}$ itself is already trivial in $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{\overline{K}})$. □

We can use this to obtain the following well-known corollary (for example stated in [Kat73]).

Corollary 2.6. *Let Γ be $\Gamma_1(n), \Gamma_0(n)$ or $\Gamma(n)$. Denote by $f_n \colon \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)_R \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_R$ the canonical map for R a $\mathbb{Z}_{(l)}$-algebra with l not dividing n. Then*

$$(f_n)^*\omega^{\otimes 2} \cong \Omega^1_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)_R/\operatorname{Spec} R} \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mathrm{cusps}),$$

where cusps *is the complement of $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)_R$ in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)_R$ and $\mathcal{L}$*(cusps) *denotes the line bundle associated to this divisor.*

Proof. There is a holomorphic isomorphism $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)_{\mathbb{C}}$ to the orbifold quotient $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma$ for $\mathbb{H}$ the upper half plane; this extends (for example by the uniqueness of normal compactifications) to an isomorphism $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)_{\mathbb{C}}$ to the usual compactification $\overline{\mathbb{H}/\Gamma}$. Using this isomorphism, Deligne constructs in Section 2 of [Del71] a holomorphic map $\Omega^1_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell,\mathbb{C}}} \to (f_n)^*\omega^{\otimes 2}_{\mathbb{C}}$, which has simple zeros at all the cusps. By Serre's GAGA, this is actually an algebraic map. This implies the result over $\mathbb{C}$ and thus by the last proposition over $\mathbb{Z}_{(l)}$ and thus for any $\mathbb{Z}_{(l)}$-algebra. □

We can use this to get information about cusp forms in the following sense:

Definition 2.7. For $\Gamma \in \{\Gamma_1(n), \Gamma_0(n), \Gamma(n)\}$, a Γ-cusp form with coefficients in R and weight i is a section of $\mathcal{L}(-\mathrm{cusps}) \otimes (f_n)^*\omega^{\otimes i}$ on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)_R$, i.e. a section of $\omega^{\otimes i}$ that vanishes at the cusps.

By Grothendieck duality we obtain:

Corollary 2.8. *For $\Gamma \in \{\Gamma_1(n), \Gamma_0(n), \Gamma(n)\}$, the space of Γ-cusp form with coefficients in R and weight i is isomorphic to*

$$H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)_R; \Omega^1_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)_R/\operatorname{Spec} R} \otimes (f_n)^*\omega^{\otimes(i-2)}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)_R; (f_n)^*\omega^{\otimes(2-i)}), R).$$

This is in accordance with the definition given in [Del71, Def 2.8]. Now we need some information about the cohomology of $g^*\omega$ on $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$.

Proposition 2.9. *We have*

1. $H^0(\overline{\mathcal{X}}; g^*\omega^{\otimes m}) = 0$ *for $m < 0$ (i.e. there are no modular forms of negative weight),*
2. $H^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}}; g^*\omega^{\otimes m}) = 0$ *for $m \geq 2$,*
3. $H^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}}; g^*\omega^{\otimes j})$ *is torsionfree for all $j \neq 1$ if R is torsionfree.*

Proof. Throughout the proof, we will write ω for $g^*\omega$ when the context is clear.

1. As $H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_0(n)_R; \omega^{\otimes m}) \cong H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)_R; \omega^{\otimes m})^{(\mathbb{Z}/n)^\times}$, we only have to deal with $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)_R$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(n)_R$. The non-representable cases from Example 2.1 can be dealt with by hand.

   Assume now that $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ is representable. By [DR73, VI.4.4], the line bundle ω on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}$ has degree $\frac{1}{24}$. Thus, $\omega^{\otimes m}$ has negative degree on $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_k$ for every field k. Thus, $H^0(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_k; \omega_k^{\otimes m}) = 0$ by [Har77, IV.1.2]. Thus, the sheaf $g_*g^*\omega^{\otimes m}$ vanishes at every point of $\operatorname{Spec} R$ and thus vanishes completely.

(2) This is shown for $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(n)$ in [Kat73, Thm 1.7.1]. We will give the proof in the case of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)_R$ to add some details. The case $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_0(n)_R$ follows from it if $\phi(n)$ is invertible as then
$$H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_0(n)_R; g^*\omega^{\otimes m}) \cong H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)_R; g^*\omega^{\otimes m})^{(\mathbb{Z}/n)^\times}$$
(as in the proof of Proposition 2.3).

By dealing with the cases $n \leq 4$ by hand, we can assume again that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)_R$ is representable by a projective R-scheme. By Cohomology and Base Change (see e.g. [Har77, Theorem 12.11]), we see that it is enough to show this in the case where $k = R$ is an algebraically closed field. By Corollary 2.6,
$$\omega^{\otimes m} \cong \Omega^1_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)_k/k} \otimes \omega^{\otimes m-2} \otimes \mathcal{L}(\text{cusps}).$$
Because ω has positive degree [DR73, VI.4.4], we see that the degree of $\omega^{\otimes m}$ is bigger than the degree of $\Omega^1_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)_k/k}$. By Serre duality, we see that $H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n); \omega^{\otimes m})$ has thus to vanish (as it is isomorphic to H^0 of $\omega^{\otimes -m} \otimes \Omega^1_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)_k/k}$, which has degree smaller than 0).

It remains to deal with case $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_0(n)$ if 6 is invertible. As above, we can assume that $R = k$ is a field (e.g. by pushforward to the coarse moduli space if we want to use cohomology and base change only for schemes). In this case $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell,k}$ is a weighted projective space $\mathcal{P}(4,6)_k$. By Proposition 3.3, $g_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_0(n)}$ decomposes into a sum of the form $\bigoplus_i \omega^{\otimes q_i}$. All q_i must be nonnegative as else we had negative weight modular forms for $\Gamma_0(n)$. Thus, $g_*g^*\omega^{\otimes m}$ decomposes as $\bigoplus_i \omega^{\otimes(q_i+m)}$, where $q_i + m \geq 2$. Thus,
$$H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_0(n)_k; g^*\omega^{\otimes m}) \cong H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell,k}; g_*g^*\omega^{\otimes m}) = 0.$$

(3) Let l be a prime that does not divide n. Consider the short exact sequence
$$0 \to H^0(\overline{\mathcal{X}}; \omega^{\otimes j})/l \to H^0(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_{R/l}; \omega^{\otimes j}) \to \operatorname{Tors}_l H^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}}; \omega^{\otimes j}) \to 0.$$
First note that the middle group is zero for $j < 0$ by Item (1) and hence also
$$\operatorname{Tors}_l H^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}}; \omega^{\otimes j}) = 0$$
for $j < 0$.

The morphism $H^0(\overline{\mathcal{X}}; \omega^{\otimes j})/l \to H^0(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_{R/l}; \omega^{\otimes j})$ is obviously an isomorphism for $j = 0$. Thus, $H^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}}; \omega^{\otimes j})$ can only have torsion for $j = 1$ as it vanishes for $j \geq 2$. □

At last, we have to collect some facts about the cohomology of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}$ itself, which is certainly not tame if we do not invert 6. The cohomology of the sheaves $\omega^{\otimes m}$ on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}$ was computed by [Kon12], based on [Bau08]. We need essentially only the following.

Proposition 2.10. *We have isomorphisms*
$$H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}; \omega) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \cdot \eta$$
$$H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}; \omega^{\otimes 2}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/12 \cdot \nu$$

These classes have a rather classical description as obstructions to lift the Hasse invariant. Indeed, denote by
$$A_p \in H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell,\mathbb{F}_p}; \omega^{\otimes(p-1)}) \cong H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}; \omega^{\otimes(p-1)}/p)$$
the mod p Hasse invariant in (see Appendix A for a definition). The short exact sequence
$$0 \to \omega^{\otimes(p-1)} \xrightarrow{p} \omega^{\otimes(p-1)} \to \omega^{\otimes(p-1)}/p \to 0$$

on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}$ induces a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}; \omega^{\otimes(p-1)}) \to H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}; \omega^{\otimes(p-1)}/p) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}; \omega^{\otimes(p-1)}) \to \cdots .$$

Because the H^1-term vanishes for $p > 3$, there is no obstruction to lift A_p to characteristic zero for $p > 3$. As the Hasse invariant does not lift to characteristic zero for $p = 2, 3$ (there does not even exist a nonzero integral modular form in these degrees), we must have $\partial(A_2) = \eta$ and $\partial(A_3)$ at least a nonzero multiple of ν.

Proposition 2.11. *The image of η in $H^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}}; g^*\omega)$ is zero.*

Proof. It is enough to show $g^*\eta = 0$ for $\overline{\mathcal{X}} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)_{(2)}$ and n odd. Indeed, consider the composite

$$H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{(2)}; \omega) \to H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_0(n)_{(2)}; \omega) \to H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)_{(2)}; \omega) \to H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}(n)_{(2)}; \omega).$$

Now we only have to use that the second map is actually an injection (namely the inclusion of the $(\mathbb{Z}/n)^\times$-fixed points by the proof of Proposition 2.3).

It is enough to show $g^*\eta = 0$ after base change to $C = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[\zeta]$ for a ζ a 2^m-th root of unity. Consider the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 = H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell,C}; \omega) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell,C}; \omega/2) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell,C}; \omega) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle g^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g^*} \\ H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)_C; g^*\omega) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)_C; g^*\omega/2) & \xrightarrow{\partial_n} & H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)_C; g^*\omega) \end{array}$$

As $\eta = \partial(A_2)$ is still true over C, it is enough to show that $\partial_n g^* A_2 = 0$, i.e. that g^*A_2 lifts to $H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)_C; g^*\omega)$. This is exactly the content of Proposition A.1 for suitable ζ. □

3. Vector bundles on weighted projective lines

The aim of this section is to generalize some well-known facts about coherent sheaves and vector bundles on projective spaces to weighted projective stacks. This is relevant for our purposes because of the Examples 2.1.

Definition 3.1. For $a_0, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a commutative ring R, the *weighted projective stack* $\mathcal{P}_R(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ is the (stack) quotient of $\mathbb{A}^n_R - \{0\}$ by the multiplicative group $\mathbb{G}_m$ under the action which is the restriction of the map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \phi\colon \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{A}^{n+1}_R & \to & \mathbb{A}^{n+1}_R \\ \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}] \otimes R[t_0, \dots, t_n] & \leftarrow & R[t_0, \dots, t_n] \\ t^{a_i} \otimes t_i & \leftarrow\!\shortmid & t_i \end{array}$$

to $\mathbb{G}_m \times (\mathbb{A}^{n+1}_R - \{0\})$. Here, $\mathbb{A}^{n+1}_R - \{0\}$ denotes the complement of the zero point, i.e. of the common vanishing locus of all t_i. On geometric points, the action corresponds to the map $(t, t_0, \dots, t_n) \mapsto (t^{a_0} t_0, \dots, t^{a_n} t_n)$. In the special case of $n = 1$ we speak of a *weighted projective line*.

As explained in [Mei15, Section 2], this is a smooth and proper Artin stack over $\operatorname{Spec} R$, Deligne–Mumford if all a_i are invertible on R.

For every integer m, there is a line bundle $\mathcal{O}(m)$ on $\mathcal{P}_R(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ defined as follows: The map ϕ gives a $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on $\mathbb{A}^n_R$. The category of quasi-coherent modules on $\mathbb{A}^n_R/\mathbb{G}_m$ is equivalent to graded $R[t_0, \dots, t_n]$-modules by Galois descent, where $|t_i| = a_i$. For M a graded module, denote by $M[m]$ the graded module with $M[m]_k = M_{m+k}$. Then

$R[t_0,\dots,t_n][m]$ is a graded $R[t_0,\dots,t_n]$-module, which corresponds to a line bundle on $\mathbb{A}^n_R/\mathbb{G}_m$ whose restriction to $\mathcal{P}(a_0,\dots,a_n)$ we denote by $\mathcal{O}(m)$. The following theorem summarizes some of the fundamental properties of $\mathcal{O}(m)$:

Theorem 3.2. *Let $X = \mathcal{P}_R(a_0,\dots,a_n)$.*

1. *The sheaf $\mathcal{O}(1)$ is ample in the sense that for every coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on X, there is a surjection from a sum of sheaves of the form $\mathcal{O}(m)$ to $\mathcal{F}$.*
2. *For any coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$, there exist an m such that $H^i(X;\mathcal{F}(m)) = 0$ for all $i > 0$, where $\mathcal{F}(m) = \mathcal{F}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}\mathcal{O}(m)$.*
3. *The sheaf $\mathcal{O}(-\sum_{i=0}^n a_i)$ is dualizing in the sense that there are natural isomorphisms*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{O}(-\sum_{i=0}^n a_i)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Hom}_R(H^n(X;\mathcal{F}),R)$$

for all coherent sheaves $\mathcal{F}$ on X.

Proof. The proofs are analogous to the classical proofs. In some more detail:

The stack X is covered by the non-vanishing loci $D(t_i)$, where $t_i \in H^0(X;\mathcal{O}(a_i))$. Furthermore, $D(t_i) \simeq \operatorname{Spec} R[t_0,\dots,t_n][t_i^{-1}]/\mathbb{G}_m$. Thus, coherent sheaves on $D(t_i)$ correspond to graded $R[t_0,\dots,t_n][t_i^{-1}]$-modules. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a coherent sheaf on $\mathcal{P}_R(a_0,\dots,a_n)$. Choose generating elements s_{ij} in $\mathcal{F}(D(t_i))$. By multiplying with a power of t_i we can assume that all s_{ij} are actually in $R[t_0,\dots,t_n]$ and thus define elements in $\mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{O}(m),\mathcal{F})$ for some m. Taking the sum of all these maps defines a surjection, proving (1).

For (2), we can argue by downward induction on i as in [Har77, Thm III.5.2], once we know that X has cohomological dimension $\leq n$. This is clear as X can be covered by the $(n+1)$ open substacks $D(t_i)$, on which the global sections functor is exact (because it corresponds to taking the 0-graded piece of a graded module).

That $\mathcal{O}(-\sum_{i=0}^n a_i)$ acts as a dualizing sheaf for all line bundles of the form $\mathcal{O}(m)$ was shown in [Mei15, Prop 2.5]. The general case follows as in [Har77, Thm III.7.1] because $\mathcal{O}(1)$ is ample. □

By a result that I learned from Angelo Vistoli [Mei15, Prop 3.4], we have the following:

Proposition 3.3. *Let K be an arbitrary field, $a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{N}$. Then every vector bundle $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{P}_K(a_0,a_1)$ is a direct sum of line bundles of the form $\mathcal{O}(m)$.*

We want to prove a generalization to weighted projective lines over more general rings, which is in the spirit of [HS99, Theorem 1.4]. First we need three lemmas.

Lemma 3.4. *Let k be an algebraically closed field and s a section of a vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on $X = \mathcal{P}_k(a,b)$. Assume that s vanishes at some geometric point of X. Then s is in the image of a morphism $\mathcal{E}(-j) \to \mathcal{E}$ for some $m > 0$.*

Proof. This would easily follow from a suitable formalism of divisors on Artin stacks. We will argue in a more elementary way.

Let M be the global sections of the pullback of $\mathcal{E}$ to $\mathbb{A}^2_k - \{0\}$. This pullback can be extended to a vector bundle $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbb{A}^2_k$ with the same global sections. The module M is a (finite rank free) graded module over the polynomial ring $k[x,y]$ with $|x| = a$ and $|y| = b$. Assume that s vanishes at a geometric point that is the image of $(u,v) \in \mathbb{A}^2_k - \{0\}$. Then s also vanishes on all points of the form $(\lambda^a u, \lambda^b v)$ for $\lambda \in k^\times$.

We claim that the collection of these points is exactly cut out by the equation

$$v^c x^d = u^d y^c \tag{3.5}$$

in $\mathbb{A}^2_k - \{0\}$ with $c = \frac{a}{\gcd(a,b)}$ and $d = \frac{b}{\gcd(a,b)}$. Clearly, all points of the form $(\lambda^a u, \lambda^b v)$ lie on this curve. For the opposite direction, let (x, y) be a point satisfying the equation (3.5). We can assume that u and v are nonzero as the cases $u = 0$ or $v = 0$ are easy. Under this assumption, let λ' be an $\operatorname{lcm}(a, b)$-th root of $\frac{x^d}{u^d} = \frac{y^c}{v^c}$. Then $x = \frac{(\lambda')^a}{\zeta_d} u$ for a d-th root of unity ζ_d and $y = \frac{(\lambda')^b}{\zeta_c} v$ for an c-th root of unity ζ_c. Choose a $\gcd(a, b)$-th root ζ_a of ζ_c and note that ζ_a is an a-th root of unity. As a and d are coprime, we can choose an ad-th root of unity ζ such that $\zeta^a = \zeta_d$ and $\zeta^d = \zeta_a$ and hence $\zeta^b = \zeta_c$. Set $\lambda = \zeta\lambda'$. Then $x = \lambda^a u$ and $y = \lambda^b v$ as demanded.

As s (as viewed as a section of $\mathcal{F}$) vanishes thus on the whole curve cut out by the equation $f := v^c x^d - u^d y^c = 0$ in $\mathbb{A}^2_k$, the element $m \in M$ corresponding to s becomes zero in $M \otimes_{k[x,y]} k[x, y]/\sqrt{(f)}$. The radical ideal $\sqrt{(f)}$ is generated by an element $g \in k[x, y]$ that is either f itself or x (if $u = 0$) or y (if $v = 0$). Thus, m is of the form gm'. As g is homogeneous of some positive degree j, this shows the lemma. □

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a quasi-coherent sheaf on $X = \mathcal{P}_R(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)$ that is flat over R. Let $R \to S$ be a morphism of commutative rings and denote by f the projection*

$$Y = X \times_{\operatorname{Spec} R} \operatorname{Spec} S \xrightarrow{f} X.$$

If $H^i(X; \mathcal{E})$ is a flat R-module for $i > p$, then

$$H^p(Y; f^*\mathcal{E}) \cong H^p(X; \mathcal{E}) \otimes_R S.$$

This holds in particular for $p = n$.

Proof. Let $\{U_i \to X\}_{0 \le i \le n}$ be the covering by the standard opens and $\check{C}(\mathcal{E})$ be the corresponding Čech complex, whose cohomology is $H^*(X; \mathcal{E})$. We can compute $H^*(Y, f^*\mathcal{E})$ as the cohomology of $\check{C}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_R S$. Then the resulting Knneth spectral sequence

$$\operatorname{Tor}^s_R(H^t(X; \mathcal{E}), S) \Rightarrow H^{t-s}(Y; f^*\mathcal{E})$$

implies the result. □

Remark 3.7. The same lemma holds, of course, much more general, e.g. for any quasi-compact semi-separated scheme.

I learned the idea of the following lemma from Akhil Mathew.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a normal noetherian Artin stack and $\mathcal{F}$ a coherent sheaf on $\mathcal{X}$. Assume that there is an integer n such that for every point $x\colon \operatorname{Spec} k \to \mathcal{X}$, the pullback $x^*\mathcal{F}$ is free of rank n. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is a vector bundle.*

Proof. By taking a smooth cover, we reduce to the case of a noetherian normal scheme X. As a coherent sheaf over a noetherian scheme is a vector bundle iff its stalks are free over the stalks of the structure sheaf, we can assume that $\mathcal{X} = \operatorname{Spec} A$ for a noetherian (normal) local domain A with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ corresponds to an A-module M. Let $x_1, \dots, x_n$ be a basis of $M \otimes_A A/\mathfrak{m}$. Lifting these elements to M defines an A-linear map $A^n \to M$, which is surjective by the Nakayama lemma. For every prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$, the induced map $(A_\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p})^n \to M_\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}$ is surjective and hence an isomorphism because source and target are vector spaces of the same dimension. As A is reduced, the intersection of all prime ideals of A is (0) and thus we see that the map $A^n \to M$ is also injective. □

Theorem 3.9. *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a vector bundle on $X = \mathcal{P}_R(a_0, a_1)$ for R a noetherian and normal ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(1) *Both* $H^0(X;\mathcal{E}(m))$ *and* $H^1(X;\mathcal{E}(m))$ *are free* R*-modules for all* $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.
(2) *The vector bundle* $\mathcal{E}$ *decomposes into a sum of line bundles of the form* $\mathcal{O}(m)$.

Proof. By a direct computation (see e.g. [Mei15, Prop 3.4]), (2) implies (1) because the cohomology of $\mathcal{O}(m)$ is a free R-module .

Now we assume (1) and want to prove (2). The proof will be similar to one of the standard proofs for an unweighted projective line over a field. We will argue by induction on the rank of $\mathcal{E}$ and assume that the theorem has been proven for all ranks $\leq r$ and that $\mathcal{E}$ has rank $r+1$. Denote by $\mathcal{E}^\vee$ the $\mathcal{O}_X$-dual of $\mathcal{E}$. By Theorem 3.2, there is a maximal m such that $H^1(X;\mathcal{E}^\vee(m)) \neq 0$. Setting $m_0 = -m-a_0-a_1$, we claim that m_0 is the smallest index such that $H^0(X;\mathcal{E}(m_0)) \neq 0$. Indeed: By Lemma 3.6, we have for $j\colon \operatorname{Spec} k \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ (for k a field) and every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ an isomorphism $H^1(X;\mathcal{E}^\vee(i)) \otimes_R k \cong H^1(X_k; j^*\mathcal{E}^\vee(i))$. Thus, for every $i > m$, the group $H^1(X_k; j^*\mathcal{E}^\vee(i))$ vanishes and there exists a point j of $\operatorname{Spec} R$ such that $H^1(X_k; j^*\mathcal{E}^\vee(m))$ is nonzero. Serre duality implies that $H^0(X_k; j^*\mathcal{E}(m_0)) \neq 0$ for some j and $H^0(X_k; j^*\mathcal{E}(i)) = 0$ for every j if $i < m_0$. By Lemma 3.6 again,

$$H^0(X_k; j^*\mathcal{E}(i)) \cong H^0(X;\mathcal{E}(i)) \otimes_R k$$

because $H^1(X;\mathcal{E}(i))$ is a free R-module, which shows the claim that m_0 is minimal with $H^0(X;\mathcal{E}(m_0)) \neq 0$.

Choose now an element $s \in H^0(X;\mathcal{E}(m_0))$ that is part of an R-basis. By possibly tensoring with $\mathcal{O}(-m_0)$, we can assume that $m_0 = 0$. Then we consider the sequence

$$\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{s} \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{F} \to 0. \tag{3.10}$$

We want to show that s defines an injection and that its cokernel $\mathcal{F}$ is a vector bundle. By Lemma 3.6, we see that s is still nonzero after base change to an arbitrary geometric point $j\colon \operatorname{Spec} k \to \operatorname{Spec} R$. We claim that s does not vanish at any geometric point of X_k. Indeed, if s had a zero on X_k, then s would by Lemma 3.4 define a nonzero section of $j^*\mathcal{E}(i)$ for some $i < 0$. But by Lemma 3.6,

$$H^0(X_k; j^*\mathcal{E}(i)) \cong H^0(X;\mathcal{E}(i)) \otimes_R k = 0$$

for $i < 0$.

Thus, $\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{s} \mathcal{E}$ is an injection and $\mathcal{F}$ has rank r over every geometric point and is thus a vector bundle again by Lemma 3.8. Thus $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{O}(b_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}(b_r)$ by induction. By shifting the sequence (3.10) by $(-i)$, it is easy to see that $H^0(X;\mathcal{F}(-i)) = 0$ for $0 < i < a_0 + a_1$. Furthermore, for every $b > 0$, there is an i with $0 < i \leq a_0$ and $H^0(X;\mathcal{O}(b-i)) \neq 0$ because $H^0(X;\mathcal{O}(*)) \cong R[x_0, x_1]$ with $|x_j| = a_j$. Thus, we see that $b_j \leq 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq r$.

Therefore we get

$$\operatorname{Ext}^1_X(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} H^1(X;\mathcal{O}(-b_j)) = 0$$

by [Mei15, Prop 2.5]. Hence, (3.10) is a *split* short exact sequence. □

4. The existence of decompositions

In this section, we want to prove the Decomposition Theorem 1.1. We will first do it over a field. Then we will use deformation theory to deduce it over the formal spectrum of $\mathbb{Z}_l$ in the case of uncompactified moduli of elliptic curves. Afterwards, we will use our results on vector bundles on weighted projective lines to deduce it also on compactified moduli under certain conditions.

4.1. **Working over a field.** We fix an integer l that is either a prime or 0. Denote by $\overline{\mathcal{M}}'$ for $l = 3$ the moduli stack $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(2)$, for $l = 2$ the moduli stack $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(3)$ and for $l \neq 2, 3$ the moduli stack $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}$ itself. We will denote the canonical morphism $\overline{\mathcal{M}}' \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}$ by f. We denote by $\mathcal{M}'$ correspondingly $\mathcal{M}_0(2)$, $\mathcal{M}_1(3)$ or $\mathcal{M}_{ell}$. Our goal is to show the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *Let k be a field of characteristic l and let $\mathcal{E}$ be a vector bundle on $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_k$ with $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_k$ as in Convention 2.2. Then $g_*\mathcal{E}$ decomposes into a direct sum of vector bundles of the form $f_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}'_k} \otimes \omega^{\otimes i}$.*

The proof for $l \neq 2, 3$ is very easy. In this case, we have an equivalence $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell,k} \simeq \mathcal{P}_k(4, 6)$ with ω corresponding to $\mathcal{O}(1)$. By Proposition 3.3, *every* vector bundle on $\mathcal{P}_k(4, 6)$ decomposes into a sum of the line bundles $\mathcal{O}(i)$. Thus, we will assume $l = 2$ or 3 in the following.

We will often use the following standard lemma:

Lemma 4.2. *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{v} & X \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle q} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p} \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{u} & Y \end{array}$$

be a cartesian diagram of Deligne–Mumford stacks, where p is representable and affine, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a quasi-coherent sheaf on X. Then the natural map

$$u^* p_* \mathcal{F} \to q_* v^* \mathcal{F}$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Note first that the lemma is true without any assumptions on p if u is étale because for $U \to Y'$ étale, both source and target can be identified with $\mathcal{F}(U \times_Y X)$. Thus we can work locally and assume that $Y = \operatorname{Spec} A$ and $Y' = \operatorname{Spec} A'$ are affine schemes and hence also $X = \operatorname{Spec} B$ and $X' = \operatorname{Spec} B \otimes_A A'$. If $\mathcal{F}$ corresponds to the B-module M, our assertion just becomes

$$M \otimes_A A' \cong M \otimes_B (B \otimes_A A'). \qquad \square$$

Our strategy will be to analyze the consequences of this lemma in the case of the pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\mathcal{Y}} = \overline{\mathcal{X}}_k \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell,k}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}'_k & \xrightarrow{g'} & \overline{\mathcal{M}}'_k \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f'} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ \overline{\mathcal{X}}_k & \xrightarrow{g} & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell,k} \end{array}$$

using a Krull–Schmidt theorem and the following indecomposability statement.

Lemma 4.3. *The vector bundle $(f_S)_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}'_S}$ is indecomposable for S an arbitrary $\mathbb{Z}_{(l)}$-scheme admitting a morphism $\operatorname{Spec} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_l \to S$ (if $l \leq 3$).*

Proof. Consider the case $l = 2$ and the elliptic curve $E\colon y^2 + y = x^3$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2$. This has, according to [Sil09], III.10.1, automorphism group G of order 24. By [KM85, 2.7.2], the morphism $G \to GL_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ (given by the operation of S on $E[3]$) is injective. Using elementary group theory, $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ has a unique subgroup of order 24, namely $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$; thus G embeds onto $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$. This induces a map $\operatorname{Spec} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2 / SL_2(\mathbb{F}_3) \to \mathcal{M}_{ell}$.

Pulling $f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}'}$ back along this map gives an 8-dimensional $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2$-vector space V with $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$-action; this is isomorphic to the permutation representation defined by the action of $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ on the eight points of exact order 3. The quaternion subgroup $Q \subset SL_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ acts freely and transitively on these points; thus, V restricted to Q is isomorphic to the regular representation of Q.

The regular representation $K[P]$ of a finite p-group P over a field K of characteristic p is always indecomposable. Indeed, $K[P]^P \cong K$, but every K-representation of P has a nonzero P-fixed vector by [Ser77, Prop 26]. Thus every nontrivial decomposition of $K[P]$ would yield that $\dim K[P]^P \geq 2$. Thus, also $(f_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2})_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}'_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2}}$ is indecomposable. The pullback of $(f_S)_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}'_S}$ to $\mathcal{M}_{ell,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2}$ agrees with $(f_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2})_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}'_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2}}$ by the last lemma; thus we see that also the vector bundle $(f_S)_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}'_S}$ is indecomposable.

For $l = 3$, we can either do an analogous argument or cite [Mei15, Cor 4.8]. □

Proposition 4.4 (Krull–Schmidt)**.** *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a proper Artin stack over a field k. Then the Krull–Schmidt theorem holds for coherent sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$. This means that every coherent sheaf on $\mathcal{X}$ decomposes into finitely many indecomposables and that this decomposition is unique up to permutation of the summands.*

Proof. As shown by Atiyah in [Ati56], a k-linear abelian category has a Krull–Schmidt theorem if all Hom-vector spaces are finite dimensional. By a theorem of Faltings [Fal03], the global sections of any coherent sheaf on $\mathcal{X}$ form a finite-dimensional k-vector space. We can apply this to the Hom-sheaf $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ for two coherent $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}$-modules, which is coherent itself. □

Example 4.5. We give a counterexample to the Krull–Schmidt theorem if we leave out properness. It follows from [Mat16, Prop 4.14] that $f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_1(2)}$ splits as $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{ell}} \oplus \omega^{\otimes 2} \oplus \omega^{\otimes 4}$ after rationalization. By [Beh06, Sec 1.3], there is an equivalence

$$\mathcal{M}_1(2) \simeq \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}][b_2, b_4][\Delta^{-1}]/\mathbb{G}_m,$$

where Δ is a polynomial in b_2 and b_4, which is divisible by b_4. Thus, $f^*\omega^{\otimes 4} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_1(2)}$. In particular, it follows that

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{ell}} \oplus \omega^{\otimes 2} \oplus \omega^{\otimes 4} \cong \omega^{\otimes 4} \oplus \omega^{\otimes 6} \oplus \omega^{\otimes 8}$$

on $\mathcal{M}_{ell,\mathbb{Q}}$, contradicting a possible Krull–Schmidt theorem in the uncompactified case. With some extra work one can remove the summand $\omega^{\otimes 4}$ from both sides.

Lemma 4.6. *The vector bundle $g^*f_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}'}$ is a direct summand of a sum of line bundles of the form $g^*\omega^{\otimes n}$. For $l = 3$ or after base-changing to a field, it is the sum of such line bundles itself.*

Proof. We will first do the case $l = 3$. By [Mat16, Prop 4.14], there are extensions

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}} \to f_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(2)} \to E_\nu \otimes \omega^{\otimes(-2)} \to 0$$

and

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}} \to E_\nu \to \omega^{\otimes(-2)} \to 0$$

classified by $\tilde{\nu} \in \operatorname{Ext}^1(E_\alpha \otimes \omega^{\otimes(-2)}, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}})$ and $\nu \in \operatorname{Ext}^1(\omega^{\otimes(-2)}, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}})$ respectively, where $\tilde{\nu}$ is a lift of ν. The classes $g^*\nu$ and $g^*\tilde{\nu}$ are zero by Proposition 2.9. Thus $g^*f_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(2)}$ splits into line bundles.

The same argument – only more complicated – works at the prime 2. In [Mat16, Section 4.1], Mathew constructs a vector bundle $\mathcal{F}(Q)$, which arises via two short exact sequences

$$0 \to E_\eta \to \mathcal{F}(Q) \to \omega^{\otimes(-3)} \to 0$$

and

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}} \to E_\eta \to \omega^{\otimes(-1)} \to 0.$$

The latter extension is classified by $\eta \in H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}; \omega) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1(\omega^{\otimes(-1)}, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}})$, while the former is classified by a lift $\tilde{\nu} \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(\omega^{\otimes(-3)}, E_\eta)$ of ν. By Proposition 2.11, we know that $g^*\eta = 0$ so that $g^*E_\eta \cong \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(3)} \oplus g^*\omega^{\otimes(-1)}$. The other extension splits as well because by Proposition 2.9 we see that $\mathrm{Ext}^1(g^*\omega^{\otimes(-3)}, g^*E_\eta) = 0$. Thus,

$$g^*\mathcal{F}(Q) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}} \oplus g^*\omega^{\otimes(-1)} \oplus g^*\omega^{\otimes(-3)}.$$

By [Mat16, Prop 4.7, Cor 4.11], the cokernel of the coevaluation map

$$q\colon \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}} \to \mathcal{F}(Q) \otimes \mathcal{F}(Q)^\vee$$

is isomorphic to $f_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(3)}$.[2] The composition of q with the evaluation map $\mathcal{F}(Q)\otimes\mathcal{F}(Q)^\vee \to \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}}$ equals multiplication by $3 = \mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{F}(Q))$, which is invertible. Hence, $f_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(3)}$ splits as a direct summand from $\mathcal{F}(Q) \otimes \mathcal{F}(Q)^\vee$. Thus, $g^*f_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(3)}$ is a direct summand of a sum of line bundles of the form $g^*\omega^{\otimes i}$. After base-change to a field, Krull–Schmidt implies that $g^*f_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(3)}$ itself splits into line bundles of the form $g^*\omega^{\otimes i}$. □

Proof of Proposition 4.1: Consider again the pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\mathcal{Y}} = \overline{\mathcal{X}}_k \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell,k}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}'_k & \xrightarrow{g'} & \overline{\mathcal{M}}'_k \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f'} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ \overline{\mathcal{X}}_k & \xrightarrow{g} & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell,k} \end{array}$$

We have an isomorphism

$$g_*f'_*(f')^*\mathcal{E} \cong f_*g'_*(f')^*\mathcal{E}.$$

Every vector bundle on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}'_k$ decomposes into line bundles of the form $f^*\omega^{\otimes ?}$ by the Examples 2.1 and Proposition 3.3. In particular, $f_*g'_*(f')^*\mathcal{E}$ is a sum of vector bundles of the form $f_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}'_k} \otimes \omega^{\otimes ?}$. As these are indecomposable by Lemma 4.3, this is also the decomposition of $g_*f'_*(f')^*\mathcal{E}$ into indecomposables. By the Krull–Schmidt theorem it is thus enough to show that $g_*\mathcal{E} \otimes \omega^{\otimes n}$ is a summand of $g_*f'_*(f')^*\mathcal{E}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Note first that by the last lemma, we can write $g^*f_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}'_k} \cong f'_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{Y}}}$ as $\bigoplus_i g^*\omega^{\otimes n_i}$. By the projection formula, we have a chain of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} g_*(f')_*(f')^*\mathcal{E} &\cong g_*((f')_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{Y}}} \otimes \mathcal{E}) \\ &\cong g_*(\bigoplus_i g^*\omega^{\otimes n_i} \otimes \mathcal{E}) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_i (g_*\mathcal{E}) \otimes \omega^{\otimes n_i}, \end{aligned}$$

The result follows. □

[2] Topologically, this corresponds to the fact that $DA(1) \wedge Tmf \simeq Tmf_1(3)$ for an 8-cell complex $DA(1)$.

Remark 4.7. The explicit decomposition (i.e. the powers of ω) can be worked out by computing graded global sections over k, as we will do later in the case $k = \mathbb{C}$ in Section 5.1.

4.2. **Working integrally and uncompactified.** In the following, we assume again that $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ is as in Convention 2.2 with $R = \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}$. Set $\mathcal{X} = \overline{\mathcal{X}} \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}} \mathcal{M}_{ell}$ again and let $\mathcal{M}'$ be as in the last subsection. Furthermore let $\mathcal{E}$ be a vector bundle on $\mathcal{X}$. We aim to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 4.8. *Under these assumptions, $g_*\mathcal{E}$ splits into a sum of vector bundles of the form $f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}'} \otimes \omega^{\otimes i}$ on the l-completion $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{ell,l}$.*

We refer to [Con] for a treatment of completions in the generality of Artin stacks. We will deduce the theorem from the corresponding theorem over $\mathbb{F}_l$ by the following result from obstruction theory, which is analogous to [FGI+05, Cor 8.5.5].

Proposition 4.9. *Let A be a ring with a maximal ideal I. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an algebraic stack over A. We denote by $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$ the completion with respect to $I\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ and by $\mathcal{X}_0$ the closed substack corresponding to I. Let $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$ be vector bundles on $\mathcal{X}$ such that $H^1(\mathcal{X}_0; \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{X}_0}, \mathcal{G}|_{\mathcal{X}_0})) = 0$. Then the completions $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ are isomorphic on $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$ if and only if $\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{X}_0} \cong \mathcal{G}|_{\mathcal{X}_0}$.*

Proof. The only-if direction is clear. We will prove the if direction.

Set $\mathcal{X}_n = \mathcal{X} \times_{\operatorname{Spec} A} \operatorname{Spec} A/I^{n+1}$ and $\mathcal{F}_n = \mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{X}_n}$ and $\mathcal{G}_n = \mathcal{G}|_{\mathcal{X}_n}$. Choose an isomorphism $f_0 \colon \mathcal{F}_0 \to \mathcal{G}_0$. We want to show inductively that it lifts to a morphism $f_n \colon \mathcal{F}_n \to \mathcal{G}_n$. Assume that a lift f_{n-1} to $\mathcal{X}_{n-1}$ is already constructed. The ideal defining $\mathcal{X}_{n-1} \subset \mathcal{X}_n$ is

$$I^n\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}/I^{n+1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes_A I^n/I^{n+1}.$$

The obstruction for lifting f_{n-1} to $f_n \colon \mathcal{F}_n \to \mathcal{G}_n$ lies in

$$H = H^1(\mathcal{X}_{n-1}; I^n\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}/I^{n+1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{n-1}}} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{X}_{n-1}}(\mathcal{F}_{n-1}, \mathcal{G}_{n-1})).$$

Because the coefficient sheaf is killed by I, it is the pushforward of a sheaf on $\mathcal{X}_0$ along the closed immersion $\mathcal{X}_0 \to \mathcal{X}_n$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} H &\cong H^1(\mathcal{X}_0; I^n\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}/I^{n+1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0}} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{X}_0}(\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{G}_0)) \\ &\cong H^1(\mathcal{X}_0; I^n/I^{n+1} \otimes_{A/I} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{X}_0}(\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{G}_0)) \\ &\cong I^n/I^{n+1} \otimes_{A/I} H^1(\mathcal{X}_0; \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{X}_0}(\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{G}_0)) \\ &\cong 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get a compatible system of morphisms $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$. All of these are isomorphisms by the Nakayama lemma. Thus, $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ defines an isomorphism of adic systems and thus one of completions by [Con]. □

Proof of theorem: We first want to argue that $\mathcal{E}$ is the restriction of a vector bundle on $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$. Indeed, by Lemma 3.2 from [Mei15], there is a reflexive sheaf $\mathcal{E}'$ on $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ restricting to $\mathcal{E}$. Choose a surjective étale map $U \to \overline{\mathcal{X}}$ from a scheme U. By Proposition 2.3, U is smooth of relative dimension 1 over $\mathbb{Z}_{(l)}$ and thus a regular scheme of dimension 2. By [Har80, Cor 1.4], the pullback of $\mathcal{E}'$ to U is a vector bundle and thus $\mathcal{E}'$ itself as well.

By Proposition 4.1, it follows that $g_*\mathcal{E}$ and a vector bundle $\mathcal{F}$ of the form $\bigoplus_{i\in I} f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}'} \otimes \omega^{\otimes n_i}$ are isomorphic after base change to $\mathbb{F}_l$. Set $\mathcal{E}_0 = \mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{F}_l}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{M}_{ell,\mathbb{F}_l}}$. By the last proposition, it remains to show that

$$H^1(\mathcal{M}_{ell,\mathbb{F}_l}; \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}_0, g_*\mathcal{E}_0)) = 0.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(\mathcal{M}_{ell,\mathbb{F}_l}; \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}_0, g_*\mathcal{E}_0)) &\cong H^1(\mathcal{M}_{ell,\mathbb{F}_l}; g_*\mathcal{H}om(g^*\mathcal{F}_0, g_*\mathcal{E}_0)) \\ &\cong H^1(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{F}_l}; \mathcal{H}om(g^*\mathcal{F}_0, g_*\mathcal{E}|_0)) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

as the cohomology of every quasi-coherent sheaf on $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{F}_l}$ vanishes by Proposition 2.3. □

We can be more explicit in many cases, using the following two lemmas.

Lemma 4.10. *The line bundle ω is trivial on $\mathcal{M}_1(n)$ for $n \geq 4$ and non-trivial for $n = 2, 3$.*

Proof. The non-triviality is known by direct computation. Now assume that $n \geq 4$. Then $\mathcal{M}_1(n)$ is an affine scheme by Proposition 2.3 and integral. Indeed, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)$ is smooth over $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]$ and connected (as it is connected after base change to $\mathbb{C}$).

Zariski locally, we can write the universal elliptic curve E over $\mathcal{M}_1(n)$ first in Weierstrass form and then transform it to Kubert–Tate normal form

$$y^2 + (1-c)xy - by = x^3 - bx^2$$

with $b \neq 0$ everywhere and $(0,0)$ as the chosen n-torsion point (see [Hus04, Section 4.4] or [BO12, Section 1]). We claim that there can be no non-trivial coordinate change fixing $(0,0)$ and the form of the equation. Indeed, the only coordinate change fixing $(0,0)$ is $x = u^2x'$ and $y = u^3y' + u^2sx'$ (see [Sil09, III.1] for the coordinate change formulas). We have $sb = u^4a_4' = 0$. Thus, $s = 0$. Furthermore, we have

$$u^2a_2' = -b = u^3a_3'$$

and $a_2' = a_3'$. Thus, $u = 1$. This implies that we can find a global Weierstrass form (of the form above) for E. Its invariant differential provides a trivialization of ω on $\mathcal{M}_1(n)$. □

If we had a Krull–Schmidt theorem for $\mathcal{M}_{ell}$ or $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{ell,l}$, this would directly imply periodicity of the decomposition of $g_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_1(n)}$. Due to Example 4.5, we have to more careful though and formulate a weaker substitute. To do this, note first that for $l = 2$ or 3 we have

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}'} \cong f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}'} \otimes \omega^{\otimes(l+1)}.$$

Indeed, recall that

$$\mathcal{M}_1(3) \simeq \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{3}][a_1, a_3, \Delta^{-1}]/\mathbb{G}_m$$

with $\Delta = a_3^3(a_1^3 - 27a_3)$ ([MR09, Prop 3.2]); in this case a_3 defines the required trivialization. Furthermore recall that

$$\mathcal{M}_1(2) \simeq \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}][b_2, b_4, \Delta^{-1}]/\mathbb{G}_m$$

with $\Delta = 16b_4^2(b_2^2 - 4b_4)$ ([Beh06, Sec 1.3]); in this case b_4 defines the required trivialization.

Lemma 4.11. *Let $l = 2$ or 3. Then*

$$\bigoplus_{[k]\in\mathbb{Z}/(l+1)} \bigoplus_{m_k} f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}'} \otimes \omega^{\otimes k} \cong \bigoplus_{[k]\in\mathbb{Z}/(l+1)} \bigoplus_{m_k'} f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}'} \otimes \omega^{\otimes k}$$

on $\mathcal{M}_{ell,S}$ for S a (formal) scheme with an $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_l$-point implies $m_k = m_k'$ for all $[k] \in \mathbb{Z}/(l+1)$.

Proof. Consider first $l = 3$ with $\mathcal{M}' = \mathcal{M}_1(2)$. Let E be given by the equation $y^2 = x^3 - x$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_3$ and let $G = \langle t \rangle$ be the group of order 4 generated by the automorphism

$$t\colon (x, y) \mapsto (-x, iy)$$

for a fourth root of unity $i \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_3$. Let

$$h\colon \operatorname{Spec} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_3/G \to \mathcal{M}_{ell}$$

be the map classifying this elliptic curve. The G-representation P over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_3$ corresponding to $h^* f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_1(2)}$ is the 3-dimensional permutation representation associated to the action of G on the points of exact order 2. Our next goal is to compute $h^*(f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_1(2)} \otimes \omega^{\otimes k})$ for $k = 0, \dots, 3$.

The curve E has invariant differential $\frac{dx}{2y}$ and t acts on it as multiplication by i. Thus, $h^*\omega^{\otimes k}$ corresponds to the 1-dimensional representation L_k where t acts as i^k. The points of exact order 2 of E are $(0, 0), (1, 0)$ and $(-1, 0)$. Thus, the G-representation P splits as $L_0 \oplus L_0 \oplus L_2$, where the summands are spanned by $(0, 0), (1, 0) + (-1, 0)$ and $(1, 0) - (-1, 0)$. The vector bundle $h^*(f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_1(2)} \otimes \omega^{\otimes k})$ corresponds to the representation $L_k \oplus L_k \oplus L_{k+2}$.

Let now

$$\mathcal{E} \cong \bigoplus_{[k] \in \mathbb{Z}/4} \bigoplus_{m_k} f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_1(2)} \otimes \omega^{\otimes k}.$$

Then $h^*\mathcal{E}$ decomposes as $\bigoplus_{[k] \in \mathbb{Z}/4} L_k^{\oplus n_k}$ with $n_k = 2m_k + m_{k+2}$. By the Krull–Schmidt theorem, the sequence of n_k is uniquely determined by $h^*\mathcal{E}$. We can recover the m_k by the formula $m_k = \frac{2}{3} n_k - \frac{1}{3} n_{k+2}$.

Consider now $l = 2$ with $\mathcal{M}' = \mathcal{M}_1(3)$. Let E be given by the equation $y^2 + y = x^3$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2$ and let $G = \langle s \rangle$ be the group of order 3 generated by the automorphism

$$s\colon (x, y) \mapsto (\zeta x, y)$$

for a third root of unity $\zeta \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2$. Let

$$h\colon \operatorname{Spec} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2/G \to \mathcal{M}_{ell}$$

be the map classifying this elliptic curve. The G-representation P over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_l$ corresponding to $h^* f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_1(3)}$ is the 8-dimensional permutation representation associated to the action of G on the points of exact order 3.

The curve E has invariant differential dx and s acts on it as multiplication by ζ. Thus, $h^*\omega^{\otimes k}$ corresponds to the 1-dimensional representation L_k where t acts as ζ^k. The points of exact order 3 of E are

$$(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, \zeta), (1, \zeta^2), (\zeta, \zeta), (\zeta, \zeta^2), (\zeta^2, \zeta), (\zeta^2, \zeta^2).$$

Thus, the G-representation P splits as $L_0^{\oplus 4} \oplus L_1^{\oplus 2} \oplus L_2^{\oplus 2}$, where the summands are spanned by

$$(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, \zeta) + (\zeta, \zeta) + (\zeta^2, \zeta), \dots$$

The vector bundle $h^*(f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_1(3)} \otimes \omega^{\otimes k})$ corresponds to the representation $L_k^{\oplus 4} \oplus L_{k+1}^{\oplus 2} \oplus L_{k+2}^{\oplus 2}$.

Let now

$$\mathcal{E} \cong \bigoplus_{[k] \in \mathbb{Z}/3} \bigoplus_{m_k} f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_1(3)} \otimes \omega^{\otimes k}.$$

Then $h^*\mathcal{E}$ decomposes as $\bigoplus_{[k] \in \mathbb{Z}/3} L_k^{\oplus n_k}$ with $n_k = 4m_k + 2m_{k+1} + 2m_{k+2}$. By the Krull–Schmidt theorem, the sequence of n_k is uniquely determined by $h^*\mathcal{E}$. We can recover the m_k by the formula $m_k = \frac{3}{8} n_k - \frac{1}{8} n_{k+1} - \frac{1}{8} n_{k+2}$. □

Corollary 4.12. *Let $\mathcal{X} = \widehat{\mathcal{M}_1(n)}_l$ or $\widehat{\mathcal{M}(n)}_l$ for $n \geq 4$. Then $g_*\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_l}$ decomposes into copies of $f_*\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{M}'}_l} \oplus \cdots \oplus (f_*\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{M}'}_l} \otimes \omega^{\otimes l})$ for $l = 2, 3$.*

Proof. We will implicitly complete everywhere at l. We can write

$$g_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \cong \bigoplus_{[k]\in\mathbb{Z}/(l+1)} \bigoplus_{m_k} f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}'} \otimes \omega^{\otimes k}.$$

We know that $g^*\omega \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ by Lemma 4.10. This implies that $g_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \cong g_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes \omega$. This implies that

$$\bigoplus_{[k]\in\mathbb{Z}/(l+1)} \bigoplus_{m_k} f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}'} \otimes \omega^{\otimes k} \cong \bigoplus_{[k]\in\mathbb{Z}/(l+1)} \bigoplus_{m_{k-1}} f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}'} \otimes \omega^{\otimes k}.$$

The previous lemma implies the result. □

4.3. **Working integrally and compactified.** Consider again the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\mathcal{Y}} = \overline{\mathcal{X}} \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}' & \xrightarrow{g'} & \overline{\mathcal{M}}' \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f'} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ \overline{\mathcal{X}} & \xrightarrow{g} & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell} \end{array}$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ is as in Convention 2.2 with $R = \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}'$ as in Section 4.1.

Theorem 4.13. *Assume that $H^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}}; g^*\omega)$ has no l-torsion. Then $g_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}}$ decomposes into a sum of vector bundles of the form $f_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}'} \otimes \omega^{\otimes i}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.1, there is an isomorphism

$$\overline{h}\colon g_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathbb{F}_l}} \to f_*\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{F}_l}$$

for some $\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus_{k_i} f^*\omega^{\otimes i}$. By adjunction, this corresponds to a morphism

$$\overline{\varphi}\colon (g')_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{Y}}_{\mathbb{F}_l}} \cong f^*g_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathbb{F}_l}} \to \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{F}_l},$$

where we use Lemma 4.2 again. By Proposition 2.3, g and hence g' is finite and flat and thus $(g')_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{Y}}}$ is a vector bundle. We want to show that it decomposes as a sum $\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus_{n_i} f^*\omega^{\otimes i}$. As $\overline{\mathcal{M}}'$ is a weighted projective line, by Proposition 3.9 it is enough to show that $H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}'; (g')_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{Y}}})$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}_{(l)}$-module. We have the following chain of isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}'; (g')_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{Y}}}) &\cong H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}; f_*(g')_*(g')^*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}'}) \\ &\cong H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}; g_*(f')_*(g')^*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}'}) \\ &\cong H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}; g_*g^*f_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}'}) \\ &\cong H^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}}; g^*f_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}'}) \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.6, the last group is a direct summand of terms of the form $H^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}}; g^*\omega^{\otimes n})$. This is torsionfree by assumption for $n = 1$ and else as in Proposition 2.9. Thus, $(g')_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{Y}}}$ is of the form $\mathcal{G}$.

We claim that the morphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}'}}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}'_{\mathbb{F}_l}}}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{F}_l}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{F}_l})$$

is surjective. For this, it is indeed enough to show that $H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}'; f^*\omega^{\otimes i}) \to H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}'_{\mathbb{F}_l}; f^*\omega^{\otimes i}_{\mathbb{F}_l})$ is surjective, which is true as $H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}'; f^*\omega^{\otimes i})$ has no l-torsion.

Thus, we can choose a map $(g')_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{Y}}} \to \mathcal{F}$, which reduces to $\overline{\varphi}$ mod l. By tracing through the adjunctions, this corresponds to a map $h\colon g_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}} \to f_*\mathcal{F}$ whose restriction to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell,\mathbb{F}_l}$ agrees with $\overline{h}$. To show that h is an isomorphism it is enough to check this after pullback to an fpqc cover, e.g. $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(5) \cong \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Z}_{(l)}}$ (see Example 2.4). Thus, h is a morphism between coherent sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Z}_{(l)}}$ whose restriction to $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_l}$ is an isomorphism. We know that h is an isomorphism on an open subset of $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Z}_{(l)}}$ that contains the special fiber; the complement is a closed subset A. The image of A under $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Z}_{(l)}} \to \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}_{(l)}$ is closed and thus empty as it cannot contain the closed point. Thus, A is empty as well and h is an isomorphism. □

Remark 4.14. By the long exact cohomology sequence associated with

$$0 \to \omega \xrightarrow{l} \omega \to \omega/l \to 0$$

we see that $H^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}};\omega)$ is l-torsionfree if and only if the map $H^0(\overline{\mathcal{X}};\omega) \to H^0(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathbb{F}_l};\omega)$ is surjective, i.e. if every mod-l modular forms of weight 1 can be lifted to characteristic zero. If there are such non-liftable modular forms, there are indeed also non-liftable mod-l cusp forms of weight 1. Indeed by the Semicontinuity Theorem [Har77, Thm 12.8, 12.9], $H^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}};\omega)$ having l-torsion implies that the rank of $H^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathbb{C}};\omega)$ is bigger than that of $H^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathbb{F}_l};\omega)$ and these ranks agree with those of $\mathbb{C}$-valued and $\mathbb{F}_l$-valued cusp forms of weight 1 by Corollary 2.8.

As in [Buz14, Lemma 2], it is easy to show by hand that non-liftable mod-l weight 1 cusp forms do not exist for $\overline{\mathcal{X}} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)$ and $n \leq 28$. Further explorations benefit from computer help and were done in [Edi06], [Buz14], [Sch12] and [Sch14]. Some small examples from these sources, where torsion occurs, are

$$(l,n) = (2,1429), \quad (3,74), \quad (3,133), \quad (5,141) \text{ and } (199,82).$$

There is evidence [Sch14] that the torsion in $H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n);\omega)$ grows at least exponentially in n.

5. Computing the decompositions and duality

In this section, we will be more concrete and actually give formulas how to decompose vector bundles and also determine when this decomposition is "symmetric". As we will mostly work over a field of characteristic zero, the hard work of the last section is almost entirely unnecessary for this section though the results of this section have strong implications for the integral decompositions from the last section. The work in this section is partially joined with Viktoriya Ozornova.

5.1. **Decompositions.** We work (implicitly) over a field K of characteristic 0. Let Γ be one of the congruence subgroups $\Gamma_0(n)$, $\Gamma_1(n)$ or $\Gamma(n)$. Let $f_n\colon \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma) \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}$ be the projection. Recall that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell} \simeq \mathcal{P}(4,6)$ with $\mathcal{O}(1) \cong \omega$. By [Con07, Theorem 4.1.1] or [KM85, Theorem 5.5.1], the $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}}$-module $(f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)}$ is locally free of finite rank. Thus it decomposes by Proposition 3.3 as

$$(f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)} \cong \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus_{l_i} \omega^{\otimes(-i)}.$$

Our aim is to determine the sequence of l_i (which is well-defined by the Krull–Schmidt Theorem 4.4). We will sometimes call it the *decomposition sequence* of $(f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)}$.

Denote by m_i the dimension of the space of weight i modular forms for Γ and by s_i the dimension of the space of cusp forms for the same weight and group.

Proposition 5.1. *We have*

(1) $l_i = 0$ *for* $i < 0$ *and* $i > 11$,
(2) $l_i = m_i - m_{i-4} - m_{i-6} + m_{i-10}$ *for* $i \leq 11$*; in particular,* $l_i = m_i$ *for* $i \leq 3$,
(3) $l_{12-i} = s_i$ *for* $i \leq 4$,
(4) l_{10} *is the genus of* $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)$*, i.e.* $\dim_K H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma); \Omega^1_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)/K})$.

Proof. The number m_k is by definition the dimension of

$$H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma); (f_n)^*\omega^{\otimes k}) \cong H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}; (f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)} \otimes \omega^{\otimes k}).$$

Denote by d_i the dimension of the space of holomorphic modular forms of weight i for $SL_2\mathbb{Z}$. Then we see that

$$m_k = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} l_i d_{k-i}. \tag{5.2}$$

In particular, $l_i = 0$ for $i < 0$ because $m_i \geq l_i = l_i d_{i-i}$. For more precise results, we need the following computation:

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}; ((f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)})^\vee \otimes \omega^{\otimes -10-k}) &\cong H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}; ((f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)} \otimes \omega^{\otimes k})^\vee \otimes \omega^{\otimes -10}) \\ &\cong H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}; (f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)} \otimes \omega^{\otimes k})^\vee \\ &\cong H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}; (f_n)_*(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)} \otimes (f_n)^*\omega^{\otimes k}))^\vee \\ &\cong H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma); (f_n)^*\omega^{\otimes k})^\vee \\ &\cong H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma); \Omega^1_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)/K} \otimes (f_n)^*\omega^{\otimes -k}) \end{aligned}$$

Here, we use Serre duality on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}$ (with dualizing sheaf $\omega^{\otimes -10}$)[3] and on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)$ and a degenerate form of the Leray spectral sequence (using that f_n is affine). By Proposition 2.9, this vanishes for $k \geq 2$.

Since the rank of $(f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)}$ is finite, only finitely many l_i can be nonzero. Let j be the largest such index. Then $H^0(((f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)})^\vee \otimes \omega^{\otimes -j})$ has dimension l_j. In particular, we see by the computation above that $j \leq 11$, proving part (1) of our proposition. Using that the ring of holomorphic modular forms for $SL_2\mathbb{Z}$ is isomorphic to $K[c_4, c_6]$ and thus $d_0 = d_4 = d_6 = d_8 = d_{10} = 1$ and $d_i = 0$ for all other $i \leq 11$, we obtain the recursive equation

$$l_i = m_i - l_{i-4} - l_{i-6} - l_{i-8} - l_{i-10}$$

from Equation (5.2). Part (2) of our proposition follows by a straightforward computation.

The equality $\dim_K H^0(((f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)})^\vee \otimes \omega^{\otimes -i}) = l_i$ holds even for all $j - 3 \leq i$ (and in particular for $i \geq 8$) as $d_k = 0$ for $0 < k < 4$. Part (3) follows then from Corollary 2.8. Part (4) follows from the previous computations and the definition of the genus. □

[3]This follows from the equivalence $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell,K} \simeq \mathcal{P}_K(4,6)$ and Theorem 3.2.

Example 5.3. Let's consider the case $n = 2$. By Example 2.1, the ring of modular forms for $\Gamma_1(2)$ is isomorphic to $K[b_2, b_4]$. Furthermore, we know that the rank of $(f_2)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(2)}$ is 3. Thus, there can be at most three nonzero l_i and these are $l_0 = l_2 = l_4 = 1$. In other words: $(f_2)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(2)} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}} \oplus \omega^{\otimes -2} \oplus \omega^{\otimes -4}$.

Example 5.4. Now consider the case $n = 3$. By Example 2.1, the ring of modular forms for $\Gamma_1(3)$ is isomorphic to $K[a_1, a_3]$. By the last proposition, it follows easily that in this case

$$l_0 = 1,\ l_1 = 1,\ l_2 = 1,\ l_3 = 2,\ l_4 = 1,\ l_5 = 1,\ l_6 = 1$$

and all the other l_i are zero.

Corollary 5.5. *Let Γ be $\Gamma_1(n)$ for $n \geq 4$ or $\Gamma(n)$ for $n \geq 2$. Then we have a decomposition*

$$(f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)} \cong \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus_{k_i} (f_2)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(2)} \otimes \omega^{\otimes -i}.$$

The k_i are uniquely determined and satisfy

1. *$k_i = 0$ for $i < 0$ and $i > 7$*
2. *$k_i = m_i - m_{i-2} - m_{i-4} + m_{i-6}$; in particular, $l_i = m_i$ for $i \leq 1$*
3. *$k_7 = s_1$ and $k_6 = s_2$ is the genus of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)$*
4. *$k_0 + k_4 = k_1 + k_5 = k_2 + k_6 = k_3 + k_7$*

Proof. First we want to show the existence[4] of a decomposition of the form

$$(f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)} \cong \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus_{k_i} (f_2)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(2)} \otimes \omega^{\otimes -i}.$$

To that purpose set $k_i = m_i - m_{i-2} - m_{i-4} + m_{i-6}$. First we have to show that $k_i \geq 0$. The two properties of the sequence m_i we need is that there are constants $a > 0$ and b such that $m_i = ai + b$ for all $i \geq 2$ and that $m_2 \neq 0$ (see [DS05, Fig 3.4]).[5] We see directly that $k_i = 0$ for $i > 7$ or for $i < 0$. As the ring of modular forms has no zero divisors, we also see that $m_n \geq m_{n-2}$ and likewise $s_n \geq s_{n-2}$ by multiplying with a nonzero modular form of weight 2. This implies $k_i \geq 0$ for $i \leq 3$. We have

$$k_4 = m_4 - m_2 - m_0 = 2a - 1 \geq 0.$$

From Proposition 5.1 and the formula $m_i = ai + b$, we can compute $k_7 = s_1$, $k_6 = s_2$ and $k_5 = s_3 - s_1$, which are also clearly at least 0. By Example 5.3, we just have to check now that $l_i = k_i + k_{i-2} + k_{i-4}$, which is a straightforward computation. Thus, we obtain the existence of a decomposition into $(f_2)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(2)} \otimes \omega^{\otimes -i}$.

Next we show that the formula from item 2 has to hold for every such decomposition. This follows by a straightforward computation from the equation

$$k_i = m_i - k_{i-2} - 2k_{i-4} - 2k_{i-6},$$

[4]The existence of this decomposition follows if n is not divisible by 3 and $H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma); \omega)$ (with $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)$ viewed over $\mathbb{Z}_{(3)}$) contains no 3-torsion by Theorem 4.13, but we will prove it more generally in this situation, where we are over a field of characteristic 0. The situation will be analogous in the next corollary with 2 instead of 3.

[5]For $\Gamma = \Gamma_1(4)$ or $\Gamma(2)$ the constants a, b are different for i even or odd, which does not affect our proof.

which we obtain from the dimension of the space of modular forms for $\Gamma_1(2)$ being $1, 1, 2$ and 2 in weights 0, 2, 4 and 6 respectively. Item 2 implies the following equations:

$$k_0 + k_4 = m_4 - m_2$$
$$k_1 + k_5 = m_5 - m_3$$
$$k_2 + k_6 = m_6 - m_4$$
$$k_3 + k_7 = m_7 - m_5$$

As $i \mapsto m_i$ is affine linear in i for $i \geq 2$, this implies item 4. □

Corollary 5.6. *Let Γ be $\Gamma_1(n)$ for $n \geq 5$ or $\Gamma(n)$ for $n \geq 3$. We have a decomposition*

$$(f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)} \cong \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus_{k_i} (f_3)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(3)} \otimes \omega^{\otimes -i}.$$

The k_i are uniquely determined and satisfy

(1) $k_i = 0$ *for* $i < 0$ *and* $i > 5$
(2) $k_i = m_i - m_{i-1} - m_{i-3} + m_{i-4}$
(3) $k_5 = s_1$ *and* $k_5 = s_2 - s_1 = g(\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma))) - s_1$
(4) $k_0 + k_3 = k_1 + k_4 = k_2 + k_5$

Proof. First we want to show the existence of a decomposition of the form

$$(f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)} \cong \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus_{k_i} (f_2)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(3)} \otimes \omega^{\otimes -i}.$$

To that purpose set $k_i = m_i - m_{i-1} - m_{i-3} + m_{i-4}$. First we have to show that $k_i \geq 0$. The two properties of the sequence m_i we need is that there are constants $a > 0$ and b such that $m_i = ai + b$ for all $i \geq 2$ (see [DS05, Fig 3.4])and that $m_1 \neq 0$. For the latter, we use Theorem 3.6.1 from [DS05], which shows that $2m_1$ is at least the number of regular cusps for Γ and p. 103 of loc. cit. where it is shown that in our cases all cusps are regular.

We see directly that $k_i = 0$ for $i > 5$ or for $i < 0$. As the ring of modular forms has no zero divisors, we also see that $m_n \geq m_{n-1}$ and likewise $s_n \geq s_{n-1}$ by multiplying with a nonzero modular form of weight 1. This implies $k_i \geq 0$ for $i \leq 2$. We have

$$k_3 = m_3 - m_2 - m_0 = a - 1 \geq 0.$$

From Proposition 5.1 and the formula $m_i = ai+b$, we can compute $k_5 = s_1$ and $k_4 = s_2 - s_1$, which are also clearly at least 0. By Example 5.4, we just have to check now that

$$l_i = k_i + k_{i-1} + k_{i-2} + 2k_{i-3} + k_{i-4} + k_{i-5} + k_{i-6},$$

which is a straightforward computation. Thus, we obtain the existence of a decomposition into $(f_3)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(3)} \otimes \omega^{\otimes -i}$.

Next we show that the formula from item 2 has to hold for every such decomposition. This follows by a straightforward computation from the equation

$$k_i = m_i - k_{i-1} - k_{i-2} - 2k_{i-3} - 2k_{i-4} - 2k_{i-5},$$

which we obtain from the dimension of the space of modular forms for $\Gamma_1(3)$ being $1, 1, 1, 2, 2$ and 2 in weights 0 to 5 respectively. Item 2 implies the following equations:

$$k_0 + k_3 = m_3 - m_2$$
$$k_1 + k_4 = m_4 - m_3$$
$$k_2 + k_5 = m_5 - m_4$$

As the function $i \mapsto m_i$ is linear in i for $i \geq 2$, this implies item 4. □

The reader might wonder what the significance of the last items of the last two corollaries is. It is twofold: First, it implies that over the uncompactified moduli stack all powers of ω appear equally often (which was already proved in an l-complete setting in Corollary 4.12). Here, we use that $\omega^{\otimes 3}$ is trivial on $\mathcal{M}_1(3)$ and that $\omega^{\otimes 4}$ is trivial on $\mathcal{M}_1(2)$. The second consequence is the following:

Corollary 5.7. *Let Γ be $\Gamma_1(n)$ for $n \geq 5$ or $\Gamma(n)$ for $n \geq 3$. We have a decomposition*

$$(f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)} \cong \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus_{\kappa_i} (f_q)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(q)} \otimes \omega^{\otimes -i},$$

for $q = 4$, 5 and 6, but in general not for $q > 6$.

Proof. Consider first the case $q = 4$ and the decomposition

$$(f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)} \cong \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus_{k_i} (f_2)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(2)} \otimes \omega^{\otimes -i}.$$

For example, if $\Gamma = \Gamma_1(4)$ we get $k_0 = k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = 1$ and all other $k_i = 0$. In the general case, we set $\kappa_0 = k_0 = 1$, $\kappa_1 = k_1 - k_0$, $\kappa_2 = k_2 - k_1$, $\kappa_3 = k_3 - k_2$ and $\kappa_4 = k_7$ and all other $\kappa_i = 0$. We have to check that $k_i = \kappa_i + \kappa_{i-1} + \kappa_{i-2} + \kappa_{i-3}$, which is a straightforward computation from item 4 of Corollary 5.5.

Consider now the case $q = 5$ or $q = 6$ and the decomposition

$$(f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)} \cong \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus_{k_i} (f_2)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(3)} \otimes \omega^{\otimes -i}.$$

For example, if $n = \Gamma_1(5)$ or $n = \Gamma_1(6)$ we get $k_0 = k_1 = k_2 = 1$ and all other $k_i = 0$. In the general case, we set $\kappa_0 = k_0 = 1$, $\kappa_1 = k_1 - k_0$, $\kappa_2 = k_2 - k_1$ and $\kappa_3 = k_5$. We have to check that $k_i = \kappa_i + \kappa_{i-1} + \kappa_{i-2}$, which is a straightforward computation from item 4 of Corollary 5.6.

It remains to show that for $q > 6$ the vector bundle $(f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)}$ cannot decompose into a sum of vector bundles of the form $(f_q)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(q)} \otimes \omega^{\otimes ?}$ in general. Let now $d(\Gamma)$ be the degree of the map $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma) \to \mathcal{M}_{ell}$, which is also the rank of $(f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)}$. Formulas for $d(\Gamma)$ can be found in [DS05, Section 3.8, 3.9]; note that the degree of the map of stacks is twice that of that of coarse moduli spaces as the generic automorphism group in $\mathcal{M}_{ell}$ is $\mathbb{Z}/2$. The function $d_m = d(\Gamma_1(m))$ is multiplicative and for primes p, we have $d_{p^k} = p^{2k-2}(p^2 - 1)$; for example $d_4 = d_5 = d_6 = 24$, $d_7 = 2 \cdot 24$ and $d_9 = 3 \cdot 24$. For a decomposition as in the statement of the corollary, it is necessary that d_q divides $d(\Gamma)$. If this is true in the cases $\Gamma = \Gamma_1(7)$ and $\Gamma_1(9)$, then d_q has to be a divisor of 24. This happens only if $q \leq 5$. This is clear if q is a prime. This implies the general case using $d_q > d_r$ for $r|q$ and $d_4, d_6, d_9 \geq 24$. □

Remark 5.8. We remark that the last corollary also has implications over $\mathbb{Z}_{(l)}$ in the sense that if we have, for example, a splitting of $(f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)}$ with $n \geq 4$ into summands of the form $(f_2)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(2)} \otimes \omega^{\otimes i}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{(3)}$, we obtain also a splitting into summands of the form $(f_4)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(4)} \otimes \omega^{\otimes i}$ as we have just argued with the combinatorics of the decomposition numbers.

5.2. **Duality.** In this section, we still work implicitly over a field K of characteristic zero until further notice. Our goal is to explain that in certain cases the decomposition sequence

(l_i) of $(f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)}$ displays a symmetry, which is easily noticeable in the tables of Appendix B. Recall here that the l_i are defined via the isomorphism

$$(f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)} \cong \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus_{l_i} \omega^{\otimes(-i)}.$$

Proposition 5.9. *If $f_n^*\omega^{\otimes k-10}$ is the dualizing sheaf for $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)$, then we have*

$$((f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)})^\vee \cong (f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)} \otimes \omega^{\otimes k}$$

and this happens iff the sequence of l_i is of length $(k+1)$ and "symmetric", i.e. $l_{k-i} = l_i$.

Proof. The "iff" is clear by the Krull–Schmidt theorem for $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}$ (Proposition 4.4).

Now assume that $f_n^*\omega^{\otimes k-10}$ is the dualizing sheaf for $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}; ((f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)} \otimes \omega^{\otimes k}) \otimes \omega^{\otimes i}) &\cong H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n); (f_n)^*\omega^{\otimes(k-10)} \otimes (f_n)^*\omega^{\otimes(10+i)}) \\ &\cong H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n); (f_n)^*\omega^{\otimes(-10-i)})^\vee \\ &\cong H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}; (f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)} \otimes \omega^{\otimes(-10-i)})^\vee \\ &\cong H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}; ((f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)})^\vee \otimes \omega^{\otimes i}) \end{aligned}$$

Here, we use the projection formula, Serre duality on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)$ and a degenerate form of the Leray spectral sequence. For vector bundles $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$ on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}$, we have $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{G}$ iff $H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}; \mathcal{F} \otimes \omega^{\otimes i}) \cong H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}; \mathcal{G} \otimes \omega^{\otimes i})$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. This uses that $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are of the form $\bigoplus_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus_{l_j} \omega^{\otimes j}$. Thus, we see that

$$((f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)})^\vee \cong (f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)} \otimes \omega^{\otimes k}. \qquad \square$$

Now we turn to the question: When does it happen that $(f_n)^*\omega^{\otimes i}$ is the dualizing sheaf for $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)$ for some i? As $H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n); (f_n)^*\omega^{\otimes i}) = 0$ for $i \geq 2$ by Proposition 2.9, this can only happen for $i \leq 1$.

By the last proposition and Proposition 5.1, we can have $\Omega^1_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)/K} \cong (f_n)^*\omega^{\otimes i}$ for $i \leq -1$ only if the genus g of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)$ is zero. The genus zero cases are only $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10$ and 12. The last three do not have symmetric decomposition sequences as seen in the first table in Section B. In the other cases we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^1_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}/K} &\cong \omega^{\otimes -10} \\ \Omega^1_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(2)/K} &\cong (f_2)^*\omega^{\otimes -6} \\ \Omega^1_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(3)/K} &\cong (f_3)^*\omega^{\otimes -4} \\ \Omega^1_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(4)/K} &\cong (f_4)^*\omega^{\otimes -4} \\ \Omega^1_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(5)/K} &\cong (f_5)^*\omega^{\otimes -2} \\ \Omega^1_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(6)/K} &\cong (f_6)^*\omega^{\otimes -2} \\ \Omega^1_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(7)/K} &\cong (f_7)^*\omega^{\otimes -1} \\ \Omega^1_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(8)/K} &\cong (f_8)^*\omega^{\otimes -1} \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, as discussed in Section 2 all these examples are weighted projective stacks or the $\mathbb{P}^1$; on these stacks a line bundle is determined by its degree. The table above of canonical sheaves follows now from a degree computation using the following lemma:

Lemma 5.10. *The degree* $\deg(f_n)^*\omega$ *equals* $\frac{1}{24}d_n$ *for* d_n *the degree of the map* $\mathcal{M}_1(n) \to \mathcal{M}_{ell}$*. We have*

$$\begin{aligned} d_n &= \sum_{d|n} d\phi(d)\phi(n/d) \\ &= n^2 \prod_{p|n}(1 - \frac{1}{p^2}) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By [DR73, VI.4.4], the line bundle ω has degree $\frac{1}{24}$. As $\deg(f_n)^*\omega = \deg(f_n)\deg\omega$, the first result follows. For the formulas for d_n see [DS05, Sec 3.8+3.9]; note that the map of stacks has twice the degree of the map of coarse moduli spaces as the generic point of $\mathcal{M}_{ell}$ has automorphism group of order 2. □

A projective curve has genus 1 if and only if the canonical sheaf agrees with the structure sheaf. The curve $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)$ has genus $g = 1$ iff $n = 11, 14, 15$. This is well-known and can be easily proven using the genus formula

$$g = 1 + \frac{d_n}{24} - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{d|n}\phi(d)\phi(n/d)$$

from [DS05, Sec 3.8+3.9] and easier analogues of the methods of Proposition 5.11.

Now assume that $\Omega^1_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)/K} \cong (f_n)^*\omega$. Then, in particular, we have $2g - 2 = \deg(f_n)^*\omega$. We want to prove the following proposition, whose proof we learned from Viktoriya Ozornova.

Proposition 5.11. *We have* $2g - 2 = \deg(f_n)^*\omega$ *if and only if* $n = 23, 32, 33, 35, 40$ *or* 42*.*

By Lemma 5.10and the genus formula above, we see that we have just have to solve for n in the equation

$$\frac{1}{12}\sum_{d|n} d\phi(d)\phi(n/d) = \sum_{d|n}\varphi(d)\varphi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right).$$

Lemma 5.12. *The inequality*

$$\frac{1}{12}\sum_{d|n} d\varphi(d)\varphi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) > \sum_{d|n}\varphi(d)\varphi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)$$

holds for every natural number $n > 144$*.*

Proof. We have the following chain of (in)equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{12}\sum_{d|n} d\varphi(d)\varphi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) &= \frac{1}{12}\sum_{d|n}\frac{1}{2}\left(d + \frac{n}{d}\right)\varphi(d)\varphi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{12}\sum_{d|n}\sqrt{n}\varphi(d)\varphi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \\ &\overset{\sqrt{n}>12}{>} \sum_{d|n}\varphi(d)\varphi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proof of proposition: The proof can be easily finished by a computer search of all values up to 144. For a proof by hand, one can argue as follows: Set $f(n) = \sum_{d|n} d\varphi(d)\varphi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)$ and $g(n) = \sum_{d|n} \varphi(d)\varphi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)$. Both function are multiplicative for coprime integers; thus, we only have to understand them on prime powers smaller or equal to 144. For p a prime, we have $\frac{1}{12}f(p) = g(p)$ exactly for $p = 23$ and $\frac{1}{12}f(p) > g(p)$ for $p > 23$; thus, the equation cannot have solutions n with prime factors > 23. The other solutions can now easily be deduced from the following table with the relevant values of $\frac{g(p^k)}{f(p^k)}$:

k, p	2	3	5	7	11	13	17	19
1	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{7}$	$\frac{1}{9}$	$\frac{1}{10}$
2	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{2}{9}$	$\frac{7}{75}$	$\frac{5}{98}$	$\frac{8}{363}$			
3	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{5}{54}$	$\frac{3}{125}$					
4	$\frac{7}{48}$	$\frac{1}{27}$						
5	$\frac{1}{12}$							
6	$\frac{3}{64}$							
7	$\frac{5}{192}$							

□

A line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)$ is isomorphic to $\Omega^1_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)/K}$ if and only if $\deg \mathcal{L} = \deg \Omega^1_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)/K}$ and $\dim_K H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n); \mathcal{L}) = 1$ (indeed, then $\Omega^1_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)/K} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}$ is a line bundle of degree 0 with a nonzero global section by Serre duality and has thus to be the structure sheaf). In the case of $\mathcal{L} = (f_n)^*\omega$, we have $\dim_K H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n); \mathcal{L}) = s_1$, the dimension of the space of weight-1 cusp forms for $\Gamma_1(n)$. Among the values from Proposition 5.11, we only have $s_1 = 1$ for $n = 23$ as a simple `MAGMA` computation shows. (We remark that the case $n = 23$ was already treated in [Buz14, Section 1] by hand.)

Thus, we have proven the following proposition:

Proposition 5.13. *We have $\Omega^1_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)/K} \cong (f_n)^*\omega^{\otimes i}$ for some i if and only if*

$$n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 14, 15 \text{ or } 23.$$

Corollary 5.14. *If we view $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)$ as being defined over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]$, we have*

$$\Omega^1_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)/\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]} \cong (f_n)^*\omega^{\otimes i}$$

for some i if and only if $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 14, 15$ or 23.

Proof. The restriction functor $\mathrm{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)) \to \mathrm{Pic}((\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1)_{\mathbb{Q}})$ is injective by Proposition 2.5. □

6. Applications to topological modular forms

Goerss, Hopkins and Miller defined a sheaf of E_∞-ring spectra $\mathcal{O}^{top}$ on the étale site of the compactified compactified moduli stack $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}$ of elliptic curves (see [DFHH14]). As $\mathcal{M}_0(n), \mathcal{M}_1(n)$ and $\mathcal{M}(n)$ are étale over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}$, we can define

$$TMF_1(n) = \mathcal{O}^{top}(\mathcal{M}_1(n)),$$
$$TMF(n) = \mathcal{O}^{top}(\mathcal{M}(n)),$$
$$TMF_0(n) = \mathcal{O}^{top}(\mathcal{M}_0(n)).$$

In contrast, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_0(n)$ etc. are not étale over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}$. As a remedy, Hill and Lawson extended in [HL15] the sheaf $\mathcal{O}^{top}$ to the log-étale site of the compactified moduli stack $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}$. Thus, we can additionally define

$$\begin{aligned} Tmf_1(n) &= \mathcal{O}^{top}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)), \\ Tmf(n) &= \mathcal{O}^{top}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}(n)), \\ Tmf_0(n) &= \mathcal{O}^{top}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_0(n)). \end{aligned}$$

Everywhere here, the integer n is implicitly inverted. The next step would be to define connective versions, which we will leave to a future treatment.

The goal of this section, is to draw topological corollaries from our algebraic decomposition and duality theorems and explain the significance to equivariant TMF.

6.1. **Decompositions.** Our algebraic decomposition theorems rather easily imply topological counterparts. For simplicity of notation, we will formulate the next theorem only for $TMF_1(n)$ and $Tmf_1(n)$, but there are analogous theorems for $TMF(n)$ and $TMF_0(n)$ (if n is squarefree and $l > 3$ or l does not divide $\phi(n)$) and likewise for the "compactified" versions.

For a spectrum E, we will denote by $\widehat{E}_l$ its l-completion.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $n \geq 4$ and l be a prime not dividing n.*

1. *The $\widehat{TMF}_l$-module $\widehat{TMF_1(n)}_l$ decomposes into copies of*
   - *$\frac{d_n}{24}$ copies of $\widehat{TMF_1(3)}_l \oplus \Sigma^2\widehat{TMF_1(3)}_l \oplus \Sigma^4\widehat{TMF_1(3)}_l$ if $l = 2$*
   - *$\frac{d_n}{12}$ copies of $\widehat{TMF_1(2)}_l \oplus \Sigma^2\widehat{TMF_1(2)}_l \oplus \Sigma^4\widehat{TMF_1(2)}_l \oplus \Sigma^6\widehat{TMF_1(2)}_l$ if $l = 3$*
   - *d_n copies of even suspensions of $\widehat{TMF}_l$.*
2. *The $Tmf_{(l)}$-module $Tmf_1(n)$ decomposes into*
   - *$\frac{d_n}{8}$ copies of even suspensions of $Tmf_1(3)_{(l)}$ if $l = 2$ (with suspensions as in Corollary 5.6)*
   - *$\frac{d_n}{3}$ copies of even suspensions of $Tmf_1(2)_{(l)}$ if $l = 3$ (with suspensions as in Corollary 5.5)*
   - *d_n copies of even suspensions of $Tmf_{(l)}$ if $l > 3$ (with suspensions as in Proposition 5.1)*

   if $\pi_1 Tmf_1(n)$ has no l-torsion (or, equivalently, every mod-l weight-1 cusp form for $\Gamma_1(n)$ lifts to an integral $\Gamma_1(n)$ weight-1 cusp form as in Remark 4.14).

Proof. We implicitly localize at l everywhere. Consider the case $l = 3$ of Item 2 and recall the notation $f_n \colon \overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n) \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}$. Consider the quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}^{top}$-module $\mathcal{E} = (f_n)_*(f_n)^*\mathcal{O}^{top}$ with $\Gamma(\mathcal{E}) = Tmf_1(n)$. We know that $\mathcal{O}^{top}$ is even and $\pi_{2k}\mathcal{O}^{top} \cong \omega^{\otimes k}$. Moreover, taking (sheafified) homotopy groups is compatible with pushforward and pullback along flat maps. Thus, $\mathcal{E}$ is even as well and

$$\pi_{2k}\mathcal{E} \cong (f_n)_*(f_n)^*\omega^{\otimes k} \cong ((f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)}) \otimes \omega^{\otimes k}.$$

As every quasi-coherent sheaves on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)$ has cohomological dimension ≤ 1 by Proposition 2.3, the same is true for pushforwards of such sheaves to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}$ by the Leray spectral sequence as f_n is affine. In particular, the descent spectral sequence implies that

$$\pi_1 Tmf_1(n) \cong H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}, \pi_2\mathcal{E}) \cong H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n), (f_n)^*\omega)$$

and this is l-torsionfree by assumption.

Thus, by Theorem 4.13 we obtain a splitting of the form

$$(f_n)_*\mathcal{O}^{\wedge}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)} \cong \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus_{k_i} (f_2)_*\mathcal{O}^{\wedge}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(2)} \otimes \omega^{\otimes -i}.$$

Set

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus_{k_i} (f_2)_*(f_2)^*\Sigma^{2i}\mathcal{O}^{top}$$

and note that $\Gamma(\mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus_{k_i} \Sigma^{2i} Tmf_1(2)$. As we have just seen, there is an isomorphism $h\colon \pi_0\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_0\mathcal{E}$.

There is a descent spectral sequence

$$H^q(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}; \mathcal{H}om_{\pi_*\mathcal{O}^{top}}(\pi_*\mathcal{F}, \pi_{*+p}\mathcal{E})) \Rightarrow \pi_{p-q}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}^{top}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$$

for $\mathcal{H}om$ the Hom-sheaf because $\mathcal{F}$ is locally free and thus

$$\pi_p\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}^{top}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}) \cong \mathcal{H}om_{\pi_*\mathcal{O}^{top}}(\pi_*\mathcal{F}, \pi_{*+p}\mathcal{E})).$$

We claim that $\mathcal{H}om_{\pi_*\mathcal{O}^{top}}(\pi_*\mathcal{F}, \pi_{*+p}\mathcal{E})) \cong \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}^{\wedge}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}}}(\pi_0\mathcal{F}, \pi_p\mathcal{E})$ has cohomological dimension ≤ 1. Indeed, it is the sum of vector bundles of the form

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}^{\wedge}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}}}((f_2)_*\mathcal{O}^{\wedge}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(2)} \otimes \omega^{\otimes j}, (f_n)_*\mathcal{O}^{\wedge}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)}),$$

which is isomorphic to

$$(f_n)_*\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}^{\wedge}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}}}((f_n)^*((f_2)_*\mathcal{O}^{\wedge}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(2)} \otimes \omega^{\otimes j}), \mathcal{O}^{\wedge}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)}).$$

Thus, there cannot be any differentials or extension issues in the spectral sequence. The homomorphism h defines an element in the spot $p = q = 0$. As there are no differentials, h lifts uniquely (up to homotopy) to a morphism $\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{E}$ that induces an isomorphism on π_* and is thus an equivalence.

The other two parts of 2 are very similar. For the uncompactified case 1 we use the l-completion of $\mathcal{O}^{top}$ and Theorem 4.8 and Corollary 4.12. □

Remark 6.2. There are several possible extension, we already have partial results on and plan to treat in future work. The first is to extend this decomposition theorem to connective versions $tmf_1(n)$. The second is to take the group action by $(\mathbb{Z}/n)^\times$ on $TMF_1(n)$ and $Tmf_1(n)$ into account.

6.2. **Duality.** In this section, we will investigate possible Anderson self-duality of $Tmf_1(n)$. Let us recall the definition of Anderson duality, which was first studied by Anderson (only published in mimeographed notes [And69]) and Kainen [Kai71], mainly for the purpose of universal coefficient sequences, and further investigated in the context of topological modular forms in [Sto12], [HM15] and [GM16].

For an injective abelian group J, the functor

$$\text{Spectra} \to \text{graded abelian groups}, \quad X \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\pi_{-*}X, J)$$

is representable by a spectrum I_J, as follows from Brown representability. If A is an abelian group and $A \to J^0 \to J^1$ an injective resolution, we define the spectrum I_A to be the fiber of $I_{J^0} \to I_{J^1}$. Given a spectrum X, we define its *A-Anderson dual* I_AX by $F(X, I_A)$. It satisfies for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ the following functorial short exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{Z}}(\pi_{-k-1}X, A) \to \pi_k I_A X \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\pi_{-k}X, A) \to 0.$$

Note that if A is a subring of $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\pi_{-k-1}X$ is an A-module, the Ext-group is just the torsion in $\pi_{-k-1}X$. More generally, we obtain for spectra E and X a universal coefficient sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{Z}}(E_{k-1}X, A) \to (I_A E)^k X \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(E_k X, A) \to 0,$$

which is most useful in the case of *Anderson self-duality*, i.e. if $I_A E$ is equivalent to $\Sigma^m E$ for some m as then the middle-term can be replaced by $E^{k+m}X$. Such Anderson self-duality is, for example, true for $E = KU$ (with $m = 0$) and $E = KO$ (with $m = 4$) by [And69]. We want to investigate, which $Tmf_1(n)$ are Anderson self-dual.

Recall that in Corollary 5.14, we gave conditions when the dualizing sheaf of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)$ is a power of ω. We will explain how this implies Anderson self-duality for $Tmf_1(n)$ in these cases once we know that $\pi_* Tmf_1(n)$ is torsionfree.

Lemma 6.3. *If $\Omega^1_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)/\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]} \cong (f_n)^*\omega^{\otimes i}$, then the cohomology groups $H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n); (f_n)^*\omega^{\otimes j})$ are torsionfree for all j.*

Proof. For $j \neq 1$, this follows by Proposition 2.9. It remains to show it for $j = 1$. We can assume that $n \geq 5$ so that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)$ is representable as the other cases are easily dealt with by hand.

If $i \leq 0$, then $H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)_{\mathbb{Q}}; \omega) = 0 = H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)_{\mathbb{F}_p}; \omega)$ by Serre duality for all p because there are no modular forms of negative weight by Proposition 2.9 again. Thus, by cohomology and base change $H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n); \omega) = 0$ (see e.g. [Har77, Corollary 12.9]). If $i = 1$, then Grothendieck duality states that $H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n); \omega) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]$. □

This implies that $\pi_* Tmf_1(n)$ is torsionfree in these cases. Indeed, as $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)$ has cohomological dimension 1, the descent spectral sequence for $Tmf_1(n)$ collapses (as in the proof of Theorem 6.1) and we obtain

$$\pi_{2i} Tmf_1(n) \cong H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n); (f_n)^*\omega^{\otimes i}) \text{ and } \pi_{2i-1} Tmf_1(n) \cong H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n); (f_n)^*\omega^{\otimes i}).$$

The former is torsionfree because $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)$ is flat over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]$ and the latter by the last lemma.

The following lemma will also be useful.

Lemma 6.4. *Let A be a subring of $\mathbb{Q}$ and X a spectrum whose homotopy groups are finitely generated A-modules. Then $(I_A X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq I_{\mathbb{Q}} X_{\mathbb{Q}}$, where $X_{\mathbb{Q}}$ denote the rationalization.*

Proof. Recall that $I_{\mathbb{Q}}X$ is defined as $F(X, I_{\mathbb{Q}})$, which is equivalent to $F(X_{\mathbb{Q}}, I_{\mathbb{Q}})$ as $I_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is rational. We have to show that the natural map $I_A X \to I_{\mathbb{Q}} X$ is an isomorphism on homotopy groups after rationalization. This boils down to the fact that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_A(M, N) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to \mathrm{Hom}_A(M, N \otimes \mathbb{Q})$$

is an isomorphism if M is a finitely generated A-module. □

Proposition 6.5. *We have $I_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]} Tmf_1(n) \simeq \Sigma^l Tmf_1(n)$ as $Tmf_1(n)$-modules for $n \geq 2$ if and only if $k = (l-1)/2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(f_n)^*\omega^{\otimes(-k)}$ is isomorphic to $\Omega^1_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)/\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]}$.*

Proof. We will denote throughout the proof the sheaf $(f_n)^*\omega$ by ω. Furthermore, we abbreviate $Tmf_1(n)$ to R. As noted before, we have $\pi_{2i}R \cong H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n); \omega^{\otimes i})$ and $\pi_{2i-1}R \cong H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n); \omega^{\otimes i})$.

First suppose that $\omega^{\otimes(-k)}$ is a dualizing sheaf for $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)$. Then $H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n);\omega^{\otimes(-k)}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]$ and the pairing

$$H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n);\omega^{\otimes -i-k}) \otimes H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n);\omega^{\otimes i}) \to H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n);\omega^{\otimes -k}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]$$

is perfect; note here that the groups are finitely generated and torsionfree by Lemma 6.3. This implies that $\pi_* R$ is torsionfree as well.

Choose a generator D of $H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n);\omega^{\otimes -k})$. This is represented by a unique element in $\pi_{-2k-1}R \cong \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{n}\right]$, which we will also denote by D. Denote by δ the element in $\pi_{2k+1} I_{\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{n}\right]} R$ with $\phi(\delta)(D) = 1$, where $\phi\colon \pi_{2k+1} I_{\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{n}\right]} R \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_{-2k-1}R, \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{n}\right])$. The element δ induces an R-linear map $\widehat{\delta}\colon \Sigma^{2k+1}R \to I_{\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{n}\right]} R$.

We obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi_{i-2k-1}R \otimes \pi_{-i}R & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\delta}_* \otimes \mathrm{id}} & \pi_i I_{\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{n}\right]} R \otimes \pi_{-i}R & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\phi \otimes \mathrm{id}} & \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_{-i}R, \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{n}\right]) \otimes \pi_{-i}R \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ \pi_{-2k-1}R & & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\phi(\delta)} & & \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{n}\right] \end{array} \tag{6.6}$$

The left vertical map is a perfect pairing because of Serre duality (as described above), as is the right vertical map by definition. Thus, the map

$$\widehat{\delta}_*\colon \pi_{i-2k-1} Tmf_1(n) \to \pi_k I_{\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{n}\right]} Tmf_1(n)$$

is an isomorphism for all i. This shows that $\widehat{\delta}$ is an equivalence.

Now assume on the other hand that there is an equivalence $I_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]}R \simeq \Sigma^l R$ as R-modules. By Lemma 6.4, this implies an equivalence $I_{\mathbb{Q}} R_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \Sigma^l R_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of $R_{\mathbb{Q}}$-modules. In the following, we will rationalize everything implicitly.

If l is even, this implies

$$H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n);\omega^{\otimes i}) \cong \pi_{2i} Tmf_1(n) \cong (\pi_{-2i}\Sigma^l Tmf_1(n))^\vee \cong H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n);\omega^{\otimes(-l/2-i)})^\vee.$$

As there are no modular forms of negative weight, this would imply that $H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n);\omega^{\otimes i})$ is zero for i big; this is absurd as the ring of modular forms does not contain nilpotent elements. Thus, l can be written as $2k+1$.

Let

$$D \in H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n);\omega^{\otimes -k}) \cong \pi_{-2k-1}R$$

be the element corresponding under the isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{-2k-1}R &\cong \pi_0 \Sigma^{2k+1} R \\ &\cong \pi_0 I_{\mathbb{Q}} R \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\pi_0 R, \mathbb{Q}) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}) \end{aligned}$$

to 1. Consider now again the diagram (6.6). Now all the horizontal arrows are isomorphisms and the right vertical map is a perfect pairing. Therefore, the left hand vertical arrow is a perfect pairing as well. This implies that

$$H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n);\omega^{\otimes -i-k}) \otimes H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n);\omega^{\otimes i}) \to H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n);\omega^{\otimes -k}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$$

is a perfect pairing. As ω is ample by Proposition 2.3, one can repeat the proof of [Har77, Thm 7.1b] to see that $\omega^{\otimes -k}$ is dualizing on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and thus isomorphic to the dualizing sheaf $\Omega^1_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)_{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}} = \left(\Omega^1_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)/\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. By Proposition 2.5, this implies that $\omega \cong \Omega^1_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)/\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]}$ also before rationalizing. □

This gives the following theorem:

Theorem 6.7. *We have $I_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]}Tmf_1(n) \simeq \Sigma^l Tmf_1(n)$ as $Tmf_1(n)$-modules for some l if and only if*

$n = 1$	*with* $l = 21$,
$n = 2$	*with* $l = 13$,
$n = 3, 4$	*with* $l = 9$,
$n = 5, 6$	*with* $l = 5$,
$n = 7, 8$	*with* $l = 3$,
$n = 11, 14, 15$	*with* $l = 1$, *or*
$n = 23$	*with* $l = -1$.

Proof. The only case not dealt with by the last proposition and Corollary 5.14 is the case $n = 1$. With 2 inverted, this was shown in [Sto12]. Without inverting 2, this was announced in [Sto14]. □

6.3. **Connections to Equivariant TMF.** Let G be an abelian compact Lie group. Define $\mathcal{M}_G$ to be the moduli stack of elliptic curves E together with a morphism $\widehat{G} \to E$ from the constant group scheme of characters $\widehat{G} = \mathrm{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ of G.

Lurie defines in [Lur09] also a derived version of this. He constructs the derived stack $\mathcal{M}_{ell}^{top} = (\mathcal{M}_{ell}, \mathcal{O}^{top})$ as representing the moduli problems of oriented (derived) elliptic curves over E_∞-rings; in particular, he obtains the universal (derived) oriented elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}^{top}$ over $\mathcal{M}_{ell}^{top}$. The following lemma is certainly well-known to Lurie and other specialists.

Lemma 6.8. *The underlying classical Deligne–Mumford stack of $\mathcal{E}^{top}$ is the universal elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}$ over $\mathcal{M}_{ell}$. In particular, we can write $\mathcal{E}^{top}$ as $\mathcal{E}$ equipped with a sheaf $\mathcal{O}^{top}_{\mathcal{E}}$ of E_∞-ring spectra on it.*

Proof. We will use the terminology of [Lur09] and [Lur16, Section 1.4]. Denote by $\mathcal{F}$ the functor that associates to each connective E_∞-ring spectrum A the space of preoriented elliptic curves over A. As preorientations of classical elliptic curves are unique, the restriction of $\mathcal{F}$ to discrete rings over A is equivalent to the groupoid of elliptic curves over A. By [Lur09, Theorem 4.1], there is a 1-localic spectral Deligne–Mumford stack $\mathcal{M}_{ell}^{pre}$ representing $\mathcal{F}$. As every map $X \to \mathcal{M}_{ell}^{pre}$ from a classical Deligne–Mumford stack factors uniquely over the underlying stack of $\mathcal{M}_{ell}^{pre}$, we deduce that the underlying stack is equivalent to $\mathcal{M}_{ell}$ and the universal preoriented (derived) elliptic curve pulls back to the universal elliptic curve.

This also implies that the the universal preoriented (derived) elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}^{pre}$ is 1-localic. Indeed: Let X be a 0-truncated spectral Deligne–Mumford stack with a map $X \to \mathcal{E}^{pre}$. The composition $X \to \mathcal{M}_{ell}^{pre}$ factors by [Lur16, Cor 1.4.6.4] through the 0-truncation of $\mathcal{M}_{ell}^{pre}$, which is $\mathcal{M}_{ell}$ as $\mathcal{M}_{ell}^{pre}$ is 1-localic. Thus, a map $X \to \mathcal{E}^{pre}$ is the same as a map into the pullback of $\mathcal{E}^{pre}$ along $\mathcal{M}_{ell} \to \mathcal{M}_{ell}^{pre}$, which is $\mathcal{E}$. Thus, the 0-truncation of $\mathcal{E}^{pre}$ coincides with (the 0-truncation of) $\mathcal{E}$, which is clearly 1-localic as it is a classical Deligne–Mumford stack. Thus, $\mathcal{E}^{pre}$ is 1-localic.

The universal (derived) oriented elliptic curve is the pullback of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & (\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}^{pre}) = \mathcal{E}^{pre} \\ & & \downarrow \\ (\mathcal{M}_{ell}, \mathcal{O}^{top}) & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{M}_{ell}, \mathcal{O}^{pre}) = \mathcal{M}_{ell}^{pre}, \end{array}$$

where the map on underlying Deligne–Mumford stacks $\mathcal{M}_{ell} \to \mathcal{M}_{ell}$ is by [Lur09, Section 4.1] the identity. It follows that this pullback is given as $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}^{pre} \wedge_{\mathcal{O}^{pre}} \mathcal{O}^{top})$. □

Lurie defines $\mathcal{M}_G^{top}$ as the derived mapping stack $\mathrm{Hom}(\widehat{G}, \mathcal{E}^{top})$. As $\widehat{G}$ is a finitely generated abelian group, this can concretely be constructed as follows: We set $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{E}^{top})$ to be $\mathcal{E}^{top}$ itself and $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/n, \mathcal{E}^{top})$ to be the n-torsion points of $\mathcal{E}^{top}$, i.e. the fiber product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}^{top}[n] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}^{top} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle [n]} \\ \mathcal{M}_{ell}^{top} & \xrightarrow{e} & \mathcal{E}^{top}. \end{array}$$

Because $[n]$ is flat by [KM85, Theorem 2.3.1], this fiber product descends to underlying Deligne–Mumford stacks so that the underlying stack of $\mathcal{E}^{top}[n]$ are the n-torsion points of $\mathcal{E}$, i.e. $\mathcal{E}[n]$. Furthermore, we define $\mathrm{Hom}(H_1 \oplus H_2, \mathcal{E}^{top})$ to be $\mathrm{Hom}(H_1, \mathcal{E}^{top}) \times_{\mathcal{M}_{ell}^{top}} \mathrm{Hom}(H_2, \mathcal{E}^{top})$. It will follow from Lemma 6.10 that this fiber product again descends to the underlying stacks so that the underlying stack of $\mathcal{M}_G^{top}$ is $\mathcal{M}_G$. We denote the structure sheaf of $\mathcal{M}_G^{top}$ by $\mathcal{O}_G^{top}$.

Lurie defines in [Lur09] (∞-)functors

$$\mathcal{F}_G \colon (\text{finite } G\text{-CW complexes})^{op} \to \mathrm{QCoh}(\mathcal{M}_G^{top})$$

satisfying the following properties:

(1) $\mathcal{F}_G$ sends finite homotopy colimits of G-CW complexes to finite homotopy limits
(2) $\mathcal{F}_G(\mathrm{pt}) = \mathcal{O}_G^{top}$
(3) For $H \subset G$ and X a finite H-CW complex, we have $\mathcal{F}_G(X \times_H G) \simeq f_* \mathcal{F}_H(X)$ for $f \colon \mathcal{M}_H \to \mathcal{M}_G$ the morphism defined by restriction.

The G-equivariant TMF-cohomology of some finite G-CW complex X is then computed as the (homotopy groups) of the global sections of $\mathcal{F}_G(X)$. We set the G-fixed points TMF^G of G-equivariant TMF to be $\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_G^{top}) = \mathcal{O}_G^{top}(\mathcal{M}_G)$. Its homotopy groups are the value of G-equivariant TMF at a point.

Remark 6.9. In forthcoming work, Gepner and Nikolaus show that this definition of TMF^G actually refines to a global equivariant spectrum TMF.

We need the following lemma.

Lemma 6.10. *For G finite abelian, the morphism $\mathcal{M}_G \to \mathcal{M}_{G/H}$ is finite and flat for every $H \subset G$ and G abelian.*

Proof. If $G = G_1 \oplus G_2$, then $\mathcal{M}_G \simeq \mathcal{M}_{G_1} \times_{\mathcal{M}_{ell}} \mathcal{M}_{G_2}$. Therefore, we can assume G to be cyclic of order n. Let $|H| = k$. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}[n] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle [k]} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle [k]} \\ \mathcal{E}[n/k] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E} \end{array}$$

This is a pullback diagram. By [KM85, Theorem 2.3.1], the map $[k]\colon \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}$ is finite and flat and hence also $\mathcal{E}[n] \to \mathcal{E}[n/k]$ is finite flat. □

Theorem 6.11. *For G finite abelian, the global sections functor*

$$\Gamma\colon \mathrm{QCoh}(\mathcal{M}_G^{top}) \to TMF^G\text{-mod}$$

is an equivalence of ∞-categories.

Proof. By construction, there is a morphism $\mathcal{M}_G^{top} \to \mathcal{M}_{ell}^{top}$ of derived stacks. By one of the main results of [MM15], the derived stack $\mathcal{M}_{ell}^{top}$ is 0-affine in the sense that the global sections functor

$$\Gamma\colon \mathrm{QCoh}(\mathcal{M}_{ell}^{top}) \to TMF\text{-mod}$$

is an equivalence of ∞-categories. By the last lemma the underlying morphism of stacks $\mathcal{M}_G \to \mathcal{M}_{ell}$ is finite and flat. It follows by [MM15, Prop 3.29] that $\mathcal{M}_G^{top}$ is 0-affine as well. □

In particular, we do not loose information when we use the global sections functor. This gives special importance to the spectra TMF^G. We will determine TMF^G in terms of better known spectra after localizing or completing at a prime not dividing $|G|$, or at least inverting $|G|$. To that purpose, let $\mathcal{M}^G$ be the moduli stack of elliptic curves with G-level structure, i.e. for an elliptic curve E/S an S-homomorphism $G \times S \to E$ that is injective after base change along every geometric point $s\colon \operatorname{Spec} k \to S$.

Lemma 6.12. *For G finite abelian, there is a splitting*

$$\mathrm{Hom}(G, \mathcal{E})[\frac{1}{|G|}] \simeq \coprod_{K \subset G} \mathcal{M}^{G/K}[\frac{1}{|G|}],$$

where K runs over all subgroups of G such that G/K is a subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}/|G|)^2$.

Proof. Let S be a connected scheme with $|G|$ invertible. We have to show that there is a natural decomposition $\mathcal{M}_G(S) \simeq \coprod_{K \subset G} \mathcal{M}^{G/K}(S)$ of groupoids. Let E/S be an elliptic curve and $f\colon G \times S \to E$ be a homomorphism over S. This factors over $E[|G|]$, which is a finite etale group scheme over S. Choose a geometric point s of S. Then the category of finite etale group schemes over S is equivalent to the category of finite groups with continuous $\pi_1(S, s)$-action of the etale fundamental group [Sti09, Thm 33] or [Gro63, Exp 5]. For example, $E[|G|]$ is $(\mathbb{Z}/|G|)^2$ with a certain action and f corresponds to a map $f'\colon G \to (\mathbb{Z}/|G|)^2$.

Let K be the kernel of f'. The resulting map $\overline{f}'\colon G/K \to (\mathbb{Z}/|G|)^2$ corresponds to a homomorphism $\overline{f}\colon G/K \times S \to (\mathbb{Z}/|G|)^2$ over S that is an injection on geometric points. □

This induces a corresponding splitting of the E_∞-ring spectra $TMF^G[\frac{1}{|G|}]$. For example,

$$TMF^{\mathbb{Z}/n}[\frac{1}{n}] \simeq \prod_{k|n} TMF_1(k)[\frac{1}{n}]$$

as E_∞-ring spectra. Using Theorem 6.1, we obtain:

Theorem 6.13. *After completion at a prime l not dividing n, the TMF-module $TMF^{\mathbb{Z}/n}$ splits into one copy of TMF and even suspensions of $TMF_1(3)$ (for $l=2$), $TMF_1(2)$ (for $l=3$) or TMF (if $l>3$).*

This theorem generalizes also to general finite abelian groups G using that Theorem 4.8 works not only for the structure sheaf on $\widehat{\mathcal{M}_1(n)}_l$, but for arbitrary vector bundles on it. If $H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(k);\omega)$ is l-torsionfree for all $k|n$ and $k>1$, we can replace l-completion by localization at l. Note though that the splitting result we just proved does not respect the ring structure.

Appendix A. Lifting the Hasse invariant

This appendix does not contain an original contribution by the author. Besides a short introduction to the Hasse invariant it proves that the Hasse invariant is liftable to characteristic zero once we have chosen a $\Gamma_1(k)$-level structure for $k\geq 2$. This proof is (essentially) taken from [Pen] and the credit belongs to the mathoverflow user Electric Penguin.

We begin by recalling the definition of the Hasse invariant from [Kat73, Section 2.0]. Let $f\colon E\to \operatorname{Spec} R$ be an elliptic curve. By Grothendieck duality, we have a canonical isomorphism $R^1f_*\mathcal{O}_E\cong \omega_{E/R}^{\otimes -1}$ of line bundles. Choosing a trivialization of $\omega_{E/R}$ thus induces a dual R-basis x of $H^1(E;\mathcal{O}_E)$. If R is an $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra, the absolute Frobenius F_{abs} acts on $H^1(E;\mathcal{O}_E)$ so that $F_{abs}^*(x)=\lambda x$ with $\lambda\in R$. Associating to each elliptic curve $E\to\operatorname{Spec} R$ over an $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra R with chosen trivialization of $\omega_{E/R}$ the element $\lambda\in R$ defines an $\mathbb{F}_p$-valued (holomorphic) modular form A_p of weight $p-1$, as explained in [Kat73]. This is the *Hasse invariant*. Katz shows that the q-expansion of A_p in $\mathbb{F}_p[\![q]\!]$ is identically 1.

We want to prove that the Hasse invariant is liftable to characteristic 0 in the presence of a level structure. More precisely, we have the following:

Proposition A.1. *For every odd $k\geq 2$, there is a modular form F of weight 1 and level $\Gamma_1(k)$ over a cyclotomic ring $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[\zeta_{2^m}]$ such that $F\equiv A_2 \mod 2$.*

Proof. The proof we present is based on the mathoverflow post [Pen] by the user Electric Penguin and uses the theory of Eisenstein series. Let $\chi\colon (\mathbb{Z}/k)^\times\to\mathbb{C}^\times$ be an odd character, i.e. we require $\chi(-1)=-1$. Following [DS05, Section 4.8], we define its associated weight 1 Eisenstein series by

$$E_1^\chi(\tau)=\frac{1}{2}L(0,\chi)+\sum_{n=1}^\infty c_nq^n,$$

where $c_n=\sum_{d|n}\chi(n)$ (this is half of the normalization chosen in [DS05]). Here $L(s,\chi)$ denotes the Dirichlet L-series associated to χ. Let a be the *conductor* of χ, i.e. the smallest $a|k$ such that χ factors over $(\mathbb{Z}/a)^\times$. Then we have

$$L(0,\chi)=-\frac{1}{a}\sum_{n=1}^{a-1}n\chi(n).$$

It is proven in [DS05] that E_1^χ is a $\Gamma_1(k)$-modular form of weight 1 over the ring $\mathbb{C}$ with character χ (although the latter fact will not be relevant for us).

In general, if $K/\mathbb{Q}$ is a finite extension of degree n, we can extend the 2-adic valuation from $\mathbb{Q}$ to K by setting $v_2(x)=\frac{1}{n}v_2(N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x))$ for $x\in K$. For example, let $K/\mathbb{Q}$ be the

cyclotomic extension $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ with $\zeta = \zeta_{2^m}$ a primitive root of unity. Then $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x-\zeta) = x^{2^{m-1}}+1$ (for x not acted upon by the Galois group) as both have the same zeros. In particular, $v_2(1-\zeta) = \frac{1}{2^{m-2}}$. As $1-\zeta$ generates the maximal ideal of $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[\zeta]$, we see that $\frac{1}{2^{m-2}}$ is the minimal positive 2-adic valuation in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ and thus every 2-adic valuation is a multiple of $\frac{1}{2^{m-2}}$.

For the proof of the proposition, we may assume k to be an odd prime p (as $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(k) \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell}$ factors over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(p)$ for $p|k$), which we will do in the following. Thus consider an odd character $\chi\colon (\mathbb{Z}/p)^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$. The conductor is automatically p so that E_1^χ is a $\Gamma_1(p)$-modular form. We furthermore assume that χ has order 2^m for $p-1 = 2^m l$ with l odd.

Claim A.2. *We have*

$$v_2(L(0,\chi)) = 1 - \frac{1}{2^{m-2}},$$

where the valuation is taken in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$.

Proof of claim. The subgroup $\ker(\chi) \subset (\mathbb{Z}/p)^\times$ has order l as χ has order 2^m. This furthermore implies that χ is surjective onto the 2^m-th roots of unity. Choose, $b_0, \dots, b_{2^{m-1}-1}$ with $\chi(b_j) = \zeta^j$, where we still use the notation $\zeta = \zeta_{2^m}$. We furthermore use the notation $\overline{x}$ to denote for an integer x the integer $0 \le \overline{x} \le p-1$ it is congruent to mod p.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
L(0,\chi) &= -\frac{1}{p}\sum_{n=1}^{p-1} n\chi(n) \\
&= -\frac{1}{p}\sum_{j=0}^{2^{m-1}-1}\sum_{[i]\in\ker(\chi)} \left(\overline{ib_j}\chi(ib_j) + (p-\overline{ib_j})\chi(p-ib_j)\right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{p}\sum_{j=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} (pl + 2\sum_{[i]\in\ker(\chi)} \overline{ib_j})\zeta^j \\
&\equiv \sum_{j=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} \zeta^j \mod 2,
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$L(0,\chi)(1-\zeta) \equiv (1+\zeta+\cdots+\zeta^{2^{m-1}-1})(1-\zeta) \equiv 1+1 \equiv 0 \mod 2.$$

Hence, $v_2(L(0,\chi)) < 1$, but $v_2(L(0,\chi)(1-\zeta)) = v_2(L(0,\chi)) + \frac{1}{2^{m-2}} \ge 1$. ∎

We see that $E = (1-\zeta)E_1^\chi$ is a level p, weight 1 modular form with q-expansion in the ring $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[\zeta]$. By the q-expansion principle from [Kat73, Thm 1.6.1], E is thus a modular form over the ring $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[\zeta]$. Furthermore, we know that $E \equiv 1 \mod (1-\zeta)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[\zeta][\![q]\!]$.

Write

$$E = \sum_{i=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} \zeta^i f_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[\zeta][\![q]\!]$$

with $f_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[\![q]\!]$.

Claim A.3. *Each* f_i *is a* $\Gamma_1(p)$*-modular form.*

Proof of claim. The Galois group $(\mathbb{Z}/2^m)^\times = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)/\mathbb{Q})$ acts on $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$-valued modular forms. In particular, $\sum_{g\in\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)/\mathbb{Q})} g(\zeta^{-i}E)$ is a modular form, namely $2^{m-1}f_i$. ∎

Thus, also $F = \sum_{i=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} f_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[\![q]\!]$ is a $\Gamma_1(p)$-modular form over the ring $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[\zeta]$ and also

$$F \equiv E \equiv 1 \mod (1-\zeta).$$

As $(1-\zeta)\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[\zeta][\![q]\!] \cap \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[\![q]\!] = (2)\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[\![q]\!]$, we also get $F \equiv 1 \mod 2$.

This proves the proposition. □

Appendix B. Tables of decomposition numbers

Let $f_n\colon \overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)_{\mathbb{C}} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{ell,\mathbb{C}}$ be the projection and

$$(f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(n)_{\mathbb{C}}} \cong \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus_{l_i} \omega^{\otimes -i}.$$

n	genus	l_0	l_1	l_2	l_3	l_4	l_5	l_6	l_7	l_8	l_9	l_{10}	l_{11}
2	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	1	1	2	2	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0
5	0	1	2	3	4	4	4	3	2	1	0	0	0
6	0	1	2	3	4	4	4	3	2	1	0	0	0
7	0	1	3	5	7	8	8	7	5	3	1	0	0
8	0	1	3	5	7	8	8	7	5	3	1	0	0
9	0	1	4	7	10	12	12	11	8	5	2	0	0
10	0	1	4	7	10	12	12	11	8	5	2	0	0
11	1	1	5	10	15	19	20	19	15	10	5	1	0
12	0	1	5	9	13	16	16	15	11	7	3	0	0
13	2	1	6	13	20	26	28	27	22	15	8	2	0
14	1	1	6	12	18	23	24	23	18	12	6	1	0
15	1	1	8	16	24	31	32	31	24	16	8	1	0
16	2	1	7	15	23	30	32	31	25	17	9	2	0
17	5	1	8	20	32	43	48	47	40	28	16	5	0
18	2	1	8	17	26	34	36	35	28	19	10	2	0
19	7	1	9	24	39	53	60	59	51	36	21	7	0
20	3	1	10	22	34	45	48	47	38	26	14	3	0
21	5	1	12	28	44	59	64	63	52	36	20	5	0
22	6	1	10	25	40	54	60	59	50	35	20	6	0
23	12	1	12	33	55	76	87	87	76	55	33	12	1
24	5	1	12	28	44	59	64	63	52	36	20	5	0
25	12	1	14	39	64	88	100	99	86	61	36	12	0
26	10	1	12	33	54	74	84	83	72	51	30	10	0
27	13	1	15	42	69	95	108	107	93	66	39	13	0
28	10	1	15	39	63	86	96	95	81	57	33	10	0
29	22	1	14	49	84	118	140	139	126	91	56	22	0
30	9	1	16	40	64	87	96	95	80	56	32	9	0
31	26	1	16	55	95	134	159	159	144	105	65	26	1
32	17	1	16	48	80	111	128	127	112	80	48	17	0
33	21	1	20	60	100	139	160	159	140	100	60	21	0
34	21	1	16	52	88	123	144	143	128	92	56	21	0
35	25	1	24	72	120	167	192	191	168	120	72	25	0
36	17	1	20	56	92	127	144	143	124	88	52	17	0
37	40	1	18	75	132	188	228	227	210	153	96	40	0
38	28	1	18	63	108	152	180	179	162	117	72	28	0
39	33	1	25	80	136	191	223	223	199	144	88	33	1
40	25	1	24	72	120	167	192	191	168	120	72	25	0
41	51	1	20	90	160	229	280	279	260	190	120	51	0
42	25	1	24	72	120	167	192	191	168	120	72	25	0

n	k_0	k_1	k_2	k_3	k_4	k_5	k_6	k_7
4	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
5	1	2	2	2	1	0	0	0
6	1	2	2	2	1	0	0	0
7	1	3	4	4	3	1	0	0
8	1	3	4	4	3	1	0	0
9	1	4	6	6	5	2	0	0
10	1	4	6	6	5	2	0	0
11	1	5	9	10	9	5	1	0
12	1	5	8	8	7	3	0	0
13	1	6	12	14	13	8	2	0
14	1	6	11	12	11	6	1	0
15	1	8	15	16	15	8	1	0
16	1	7	14	16	15	9	2	0
17	1	8	19	24	23	16	5	0
18	1	8	16	18	17	10	2	0
19	1	9	23	30	29	21	7	0
20	1	10	21	24	23	14	3	0
21	1	12	27	32	31	20	5	0
22	1	10	24	30	29	20	6	0
23	1	12	32	43	43	32	12	1

Decomposition numbers for
$(f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1}(n)}$
for decompositions into k_i copies of
$(f_2)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1}(2)} \otimes \omega^{\otimes -i}$
(in the case $l = 3$)

n	k_0	k_1	k_2	k_3	k_4	k_5
5	1	1	1	0	0	0
6	1	1	1	0	0	0
7	1	2	2	1	0	0
8	1	2	2	1	0	0
9	1	3	3	2	0	0
10	1	3	3	2	0	0
11	1	4	5	4	1	0
12	1	4	4	3	0	0
13	1	5	7	6	2	0
14	1	5	6	5	1	0
15	1	7	8	7	1	0
16	1	6	8	7	2	0
17	1	7	12	11	5	0
18	1	7	9	8	2	0
19	1	8	15	14	7	0
20	1	9	12	11	3	0
21	1	11	16	15	5	0
22	1	9	15	14	6	0
23	1	11	21	21	11	1

Decomposition numbers for
$(f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1}(n)}$
for decompositions into k_i copies of
$(f_3)_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1}(3)}\omega^{\otimes -i}$
(in the case $l = 2$)

References

[And69] DW Anderson. Universal coefficient theorems for K-theory, mimeographed notes. *Univ. California, Berkeley, Calif*, 1969. 30, 31

[AOV08] Dan Abramovich, Martin Olsson, and Angelo Vistoli. Tame stacks in positive characteristic. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 58(4):1057–1091, 2008. 5

[Ati56] M. Atiyah. On the Krull-Schmidt theorem with application to sheaves. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 84:307–317, 1956. 15

[Bau08] Tilman Bauer. Computation of the homotopy of the spectrum `tmf`. In *Groups, homotopy and configuration spaces*, volume 13 of *Geom. Topol. Monogr.*, pages 11–40. Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 2008. 9

[Beh06] Mark Behrens. A modular description of the $K(2)$-local sphere at the prime 3. *Topology*, 45(2):343–402, 2006. 4, 15, 18

[BLR90] Siegfried Bosch, Werner Lütkebohmert, and Michel Raynaud. *Néron models*, volume 21 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990. 7

[BO12] Mark Behrens and Kyle Ormsby. On the homotopy of Q(3) and Q(5) at the prime 2. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1211.0076*, 2012. 5, 18

[BOSS15] Mark Behrens, Kyle Ormsby, Nathaniel Stapleton, and Vesna Stojanoska. On the ring of cooperations for 2-primary connective topological modular forms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1501.01050*, 2015. 3

[Buz14] Kevin Buzzard. Computing weight one modular forms over $\mathbb{C}$ with $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. In *Computations with modular forms*, volume 6 of *Contrib. Math. Comput. Sci.*, pages 129–146. Springer, Cham, 2014. 21, 28

[Ces15] Kestutis Cesnavicius. A modular description of $\mathcal{X}_0(n)$. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.07475*, 2015. 4, 6

[Con] B. Conrad. Formal GAGA for Artin stacks. `http://math.stanford.edu/~conrad/papers/formalgaga.pdf`. 17

[Con05] B. Conrad. Keel–mori theorem via stacks. `http://math.stanford.edu/~conrad/papers/coarsespace.pdf`, 2005. 5, 6

[Con07] Brian Conrad. Arithmetic moduli of generalized elliptic curves. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 6(2):209–278, 2007. 4, 6, 21

[Del71] Pierre Deligne. Formes modulaires et représentations l-adiques. In *Séminaire Bourbaki. Vol. 1968/69: Exposés 347–363*, volume 175 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages Exp. No. 355, 139–172. Springer, Berlin, 1971. 8

[DFHH14] Christopher L. Douglas, John Francis, André G. Henriques, and Michael A. Hill, editors. *Topological modular forms*, volume 201 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2014. 2, 28

[DR73] P. Deligne and M. Rapoport. Les schémas de modules de courbes elliptiques. In *Modular functions of one variable, II (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1972)*, pages 143–316. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 349. Springer, Berlin, 1973. 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 27

[DS05] Fred Diamond and Jerry Shurman. *A first course in modular forms*, volume 228 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005. 7, 23, 24, 25, 27, 36

[Edi06] Bas Edixhoven. Comparison of integral structures on spaces of modular forms of weight two, and computation of spaces of forms mod 2 of weight one. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 5(1):1–34, 2006. With appendix A (in French) by Jean-François Mestre and appendix B by Gabor Wiese. 21

[Fal03] Gerd Faltings. Finiteness of coherent cohomology for proper fppf stacks. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 12(2):357–366, 2003. 15

[FGI+05] Barbara Fantechi, Lothar Göttsche, Luc Illusie, Steven L. Kleiman, Nitin Nitsure, and Angelo Vistoli. *Fundamental algebraic geometry*, volume 123 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005. Grothendieck's FGA explained. 17

[GM16] J.P.C. Greenlees and Lennart Meier. Gorenstein duality for Real spectra. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1607.02332*, 2016. 30

[Gro63] Alexander Grothendieck. *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental. Fasc. I: Exposés 1 à 5*, volume 1960/61 of *Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique*. Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques, Paris, 1963. 35

[Gro65] A. Grothendieck. Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. II. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (24):231, 1965. 6

[GW10] Ulrich Görtz and Torsten Wedhorn. *Algebraic geometry I*. Advanced Lectures in Mathematics. Vieweg + Teubner, Wiesbaden, 2010. Schemes with examples and exercises. 7

[Har77] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. 7, 8, 9, 11, 21, 31, 33

[Har80] Robin Hartshorne. Stable reflexive sheaves. *Math. Ann.*, 254(2):121–176, 1980. 17

[HL10] Michael Hill and Tyler Lawson. Automorphic forms and cohomology theories on Shimura curves of small discriminant. *Adv. Math.*, 225(2):1013–1045, 2010. 7

[HL15] Michael Hill and Tyler Lawson. Topological modular forms with level structure. *Inventiones mathematicae*, pages 1–58, 2015. 2, 29

[HM15] M. A. Hill and L. Meier. All about $Tmf_1(3)$. *ArXiv e-prints*, July 2015. 4, 5, 30

[HS99] Reinhold Hübl and Xiaotao Sun. Vector bundles on the projective line over a discrete valuation ring and the cohomology of canonical sheaves. *Comm. Algebra*, 27(7):3513–3529, 1999. 11

[Hus04] Dale Husemöller. *Elliptic curves*, volume 111 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 2004. With appendices by Otto Forster, Ruth Lawrence and Stefan Theisen. 5, 18

[Kai71] Paul C. Kainen. Universal coefficient theorems for generalized homology and stable cohomotopy. *Pacific J. Math.*, 37:397–407, 1971. 30

[Kat73] Nicholas M. Katz. p-adic properties of modular schemes and modular forms. In *Modular functions of one variable, III (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1972)*, pages 69–190. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 350. Springer, Berlin, 1973. 8, 9, 36, 37

[KM85] Nicholas M. Katz and Barry Mazur. *Arithmetic moduli of elliptic curves*, volume 108 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1985. 6, 14, 21, 34, 35

[Knu71] Donald Knutson. *Algebraic spaces*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 203. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1971. 6

[Kon12] Johan Konter. The homotopy groups of the spectrum tmf. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1212.3656*, 2012. 9

[Liu02] Qing Liu. *Algebraic geometry and arithmetic curves*, volume 6 of *Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002. Translated from the French by Reinie Erné, Oxford Science Publications. 7

[Liu11] Qing Liu. Picard group of scheme over dvr. MathOverflow, 2011. URL:http://mathoverflow.net/q/52196 (version: 2011-01-16). 7

[Lur09] J. Lurie. A survey of elliptic cohomology. In *Algebraic topology*, volume 4 of *Abel Symp.*, pages 219–277. Springer, Berlin, 2009. 33, 34

[Lur16] Jacob Lurie. Spectral algebraic geometry. `http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/SAG-rootfile.pdf`, 2016. 33

[Mat16] Akhil Mathew. The homology of tmf. *Homology Homotopy Appl.*, 18(2):1–29, 2016. 3, 15, 16

[Maz77] B. Mazur. Modular curves and the Eisenstein ideal. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (47):33–186 (1978), 1977. 1

[Mei15] Lennart Meier. Vector bundles on the moduli stack of elliptic curves. *J. Algebra*, 428:425–456, 2015. 10, 11, 13, 15, 17

[MM15] Akhil Mathew and Lennart Meier. Affineness and chromatic homotopy theory. *J. Topol.*, 8(2):476–528, 2015. 35

[MR09] Mark Mahowald and Charles Rezk. Topological modular forms of level 3. *Pure Appl. Math. Q.*, 5(2, Special Issue: In honor of Friedrich Hirzebruch. Part 1):853–872, 2009. 18

[Pen] Electric Penguin. Lifting the Hasse invariant mod 2. MathOverflow. URL: `http://mathoverflow.net/q/228596` (version: 2016-01-16). 36

[Sch12] George Johann Schaeffer. *The Hecke stability method and ethereal forms*. PhD thesis, University of California, Berkeley, 2012. 21

[Sch14] George J Schaeffer. Hecke stability and weight 1 modular forms. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, pages 1–33, 2014. 21

[Ser77] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Linear representations of finite groups*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977. Translated from the second French edition by Leonard L. Scott, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 42. 15

[Sil09] Joseph H. Silverman. *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, volume 106 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, Dordrecht, second edition, 2009. 14, 18

[Sti09] Jakob Stix. A course on finite flat group schemes and p-divisible groups. *preprint*, 2009. 35

[Sto12] Vesna Stojanoska. Duality for topological modular forms. *Doc. Math.*, 17:271–311, 2012. 2, 3, 5, 30, 33

[Sto14] Vesna Stojanoska. Calculating descent for 2-primary topological modular forms. In *An alpine expedition through algebraic topology*, volume 617 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 241–258. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2014. 33